STATE OF NEW JERSEY OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW DOCKET NO. EDU 11069-14

TRANSCRIPT

OF

LEONOR ALCANTARA, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS GUARDIAN AD LITEM FOR E.A., et al.,

Petitioner, :

: RECORDED PROCEEDINGS DAVID HESPE, COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION,:

Respondent. :

February 22, 2018

BEFORE:

-vs-

THE HONORABLE SUSAN M. SCAROLA, A.L.J.

APPEARANCES:

BY: ARTHUR LANG, ESQ.

BY: DANIEL L. GROSSMAN, ESQ. Attorney(s) for Petitioner

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

By: Geoffrey Stark, DAG

By: Jennifer Hoff, DAG

By: Lauren Jensen, DAG

By: Lori Prapas, DAG

Attorney(s) for Respondent

BY: MICHAEL INZELBUCH, ESQ.

Participant

Transcriber: Jean Polatnick CRT SUPPORT CORPORATION

2082 Highway 35, P.O. Box 785

South Amboy, N.J. 08879 Phone: (732) 721-4330

Fax: (732) 721-7650

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
DAVID SHAFTER				
By Mr. Lang	5		84/96	
By Mr. Stark		69		99
By the Court	91/100			
MICHAEL AZZARA				
By Mr. Lang	106		138	
By Mr. Stark		134		143
ROBERT FINGER				
By Ms. Prapas		145		
By Mr. Lang			178	

NO.	DESCRIPTION				I.D.	EVID.
P-57	Superintendent 1	Letter o	of	2/5/18	51	
P-58	Superintendent 1	Letter o	of	2/18/18	51	
P-59	Superintendent 1	Letter o	of	2/15/18	51	

Colloquy

1	THE COURT: All right. So we're on the
2	record. This is the matter of <u>Alcantara, et al, versus</u>
3	Hespe, et al. It's our Docket Number EDU 11069-14.
4	Your appearances, please, Counsel.
5	MR. LANG: Arthur Lang for Petitioners.
6	MR. GROSSMAN: Daniel Grossman for
7	Petitioners.
8	THE COURT: All right. Good morning.
9	MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
10	MR. STARK: Thank you, Your Honor. Geoffrey
11	Stark, Deputy Attorney General for the State
12	Respondents. With me are Jennifer Hoff, Lauren Jensen
13	(phonetic) and Lori Prapas, also Deputy Attorneys
14	General. And Angela Valez (phonetic) as our recan
15	representative of our client.
16	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All
17	right. So, we've spent a lot of time trying to hook up
18	this Skype thing, which we'll have to deal with later,
19	I guess. So, why don't we just get started with
20	whatever is on the agenda for today. So, who's
21	who's coming first.
22	MR. LANG: Mr. Schafter, State Monitor. Dave
23	Shafter.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Is he here?
25	THE WITNESS: I'm turning my phone off.

a deficit or their may be issues with as far as the

QSAC. And that's -- So the State Monitor goes into the district to monitor the district. That's what we do. 2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- In the case of your present position in Lakewood, which one of those prompted your appointment? Well, Mr. Azzara was appointed first. I was still in Camden. And then the State appointed me as another State Monitor; and Mr. Azzara's the lead, and I'm the State Monitor. And I'm in there to assist Mr. Azzara. The -- The District wasn't going -- is in a deficit situation.
- Okay. And what is "QSAC?" You mentioned OSAC before.

There -- There's certain -- That's monitor --Α That's the key -- Quality something Continuum. I don't know the exact -- what the letters stand for. And there's -- They basically -- It's an evaluation of school districts. And if a school district fails, it goes below a certain level on some of the continuum, then that's also grounds for a State Monitor to be appointed.

- Is that the case in Lakewood?
- 22 Α I don't believe so.
 - Okay. Q
 - I thought it was because of the deficit.
- 25 The deficit. Okay. What is your

professional background?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have a Bachelors Degree in Business Education from Temple University. A Master of Science in Accounting from Penn State University. I'm a Certified Public Accountant, Certified School Business Administrator, and a Qualified Purchasing Agent. As far as my professional career, I started out as an Auditor for the USGAO, U.S. Governmental Accounting Officer. I was an Auditor for a few years. Left there to go to the Camden City School District in 76. Stayed there through 89. I left there and was an Acting School Business Administrator. Went to the East Windsor Regional School District from 89 to 2006 as the School Business Administrator. I retired. And then in September I was called by the State Monitor in Willingboro, New Jersey to be the Interim School Business Administrator, which I was for a year. which time, after that I became the State Monitor for Willingboro, New Jersey.

Q Pardon.

A I became the State Monitor for Willingboro, New Jersey. Then I was ac -- As Willingboro was solving its problem, they just didn't need me full-time anymore. So then I also became a State Monitor for Beverly, New Jersey, which is a contig -- contiguous

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

school district. Then, after a certain amount of time, I needed a challenge. And I knew that the City of Camden was having challenges, from the State Monitor who was there. So I offered to -- I offered to resign my position as State Monitor, in Beverly and Willingboro, to become an Interim Business Administrator in the City of Camden. The State Monitor appointed me as an Interim Business Administrator in the City of Camden. And I was there for two years as -- as the Interim Business Administrator. And afterwards I worked part-time there as a Fiscal Compliance Officer. Meanwhile, the State Monitor left Camden to go to Lakewood. He asked me to go with him. I said, "No, I really like Camden." But it reached a point where Camden was changing. State -- The State had subsequently took -- took over the district. So there really was less need for me to be there. And I left Camden to become a State Monitor in Lakewood.

- Q I'm sorry, what was the --
- A I've been in Lakewood for three years.
 - Q Pardon.
- A I've been in Lakewood for a little over three years.
- Q What was the first issue? I just didn't write down. The first issue. You said before East

- 9 Shafter - Direct 1 Windsor, where were you at? 2 I was -- I was in the City of Trenton with Trenton 3 School District. 4 Q Okay. 5 THE COURT: You've been in Lakewood for three 6 years? THE WITNESS: A little over three years. 7 THE COURT: Is that with Mr. Azzara or not? 8 9 THE WITNESS: With him. 10 THE COURT: With him. Okay. 11 BY MR. LANG: 12 Were you ever in Patterson? 13 Α No. Okay. Who do you -- Do you report to anyone 14 15 in the Department of Education? 16 Glenn Forney. Α 17 Who is Glenn Forney? I don't know his exact title, but he's responsible 18 19 for all the State Monitors. 20 Okay. All right. Have you examined the --21 the budgets in Lakewood during your three years? 22 Α Yes. 23 All right. Let -- Let me -- What do you do
 - as State Monitor in Lakewood?

25

Wow. (Chuckling) I attend school board meetings. Α

I am intimately involved in the -- in the finances of the School District, regarding budget preparation and purchasing, going over the procedure with the Grant Programs. Basically, I approve -- I approved the 17/18 Budget. I approved the 16/17 Budget. So those are two budgets that I approved in Lakewood. Again, intimately involved in the preparation of the budgets also. This last budget, 17/18, we had -- we had a very good Business Administrator, who subsequently left the District to go to another school district, who did a lot of the budget preparation. So it was just a matter of reviewing her work for the current year budget.

- Q Who was -- Who was that Business Administrator?
- A Regina -- I forget -- I forget her last name.
 - Q Was it Regina Rob -- Well, I can wait.
- A Robinson. That's -- That's it. You got it.
 Robinson.
 - Q Okay. So you were involved in the budget from 15/16, 16/17, and then, I guess, now, 17/18?

 A That's the current year. And 18/19's being worked on. I -- I normally -- I know it's being worked on.

 I'm going to get -- Once I get back, in another couple of weeks, I'll go over that with the Business

Administrator, line by line, to make sure it's --

Q So let's start with the first budget that you worked on. 15 -- That was -- Was that 15/16?

A Yep.

Q Was there a deficit in that budget, going into that budget?

A Going into the budget; no. But during the budget year, it turned out that there was going to be a deficit.

Q Why?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was -- There were supposed to be some Α agreements regarding the student transportation for the non-public schools. And there were a lot of negotiations going on ver the course of the summer, between Mr. Azzara and representatives of the nonpublic schools, regarding reforms in transportation. Agreements weren't reached until very close to the end of the summer. And what happened was, so the bids went out and the bids came in. What was supposed to save money, didn't. The prices of the contracted services, in some cases went up substantially for -- for the School District. And around October/November, realized that there would not be sufficient funds to pay for the services for the entire year. We -- We did a referendum, I -- for -- for a -- for the Township, for the purposes of paying for courtesy busing. It was

- 1 resoundingly defeated.
- 2 What -- What was? And by what margin?
- The -- The referendum was resoundingly defeated. 3 Α
 - Q Do you know the margin?
 - I know it -- It was about a hun -- Under a hundred votes, yes; and thousands of votes, no. I don't know the exact -- about, maybe -- the exact number. We were going to stop the transportation, the courtesy busing. However, the Commissioner of Education directed us to continue courtesy busing. And that there would be relief from the State before the end of the school year, to make up for the short fall.
 - Did the relief come?
- Yes. 14 Α

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 15 How much -- How much was the relief?
- 16 It was a Four and a Half Million Dollar Advance Α State Aid.
- 18 O Was that a loan?
- 19 Yes. Α
- 20 Q Okay. Going in -- Now let's talk about the 21 16/17 year.
- THE COURT: Before -- Before you do that. 22
- 23 So, what was the referendum exactly? To stop courtesy
- 24 busing?
- 25 THE WITNESS: To fund it.

	Sharter - Direct
1	THE COURT: To fund it.
2	THE WITNESS: And if the cour And if the
3	funding If the referendum was failed, then the
4	courtesy busing was going to stop as of February the
5	1st, I believe.
6	THE COURT: Okay. So when it was defeated,
7	that meant everybody still wanted to have the courtesy
8	busing?
9	THE WITNESS: Well, it was felt by the
10	officials at the at the department, that it was a
11	dangerous situation in Lakewood. And it was too
12	dangerous to just stop the busing. So the busing
13	continued.
14	THE COURT: And that was the reason for the
15	loan.
16	THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
17	THE COURT: That was the reason for the loan.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And that loan was just for the
20	transportation.
21	THE WITNESS: Well, it was the reason that
22	we needed the loan was because of transportation.
23	THE COURT: Everything else was covered then.
24	THE WITNESS: Right.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LANG: Well, excuse me, Your Honor.

BY MR. LANG:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q I'm just -- Why -- Why is -- Why was it considered a dangerous situation that the current Commissioner ordered the restoration of courtesy busing?

There are some hazardous routes, that are Α considered hazardous routes in Lakewood. And also the traffic situation is very bad. And it was felt that, for the children -- the children walking to school, up to two miles for elementary, and up to two and a half miles for high school students, that they would be crossing dangerous routes. They'd be walking along dangerous routes. I don't know the exact percentage of courtesy students that were -- because of hazardous routes versus courtesy, but there were -- there are many hazardous routes in Lakewood. There's a list that I've seen, of about -- I think it has about 30 or 40. I haven't seen it in a while. But, for example, crossing Route 9, walking along County Line Road. Those are -- And there's another road, a brand new --Not -- New Hampshire Avenue, I think it's called, if I'm correct. (Phone Ringing) And those are considered dangerous routes for children to walk along, or children to cross the streets.

Shafter - Direct THE COURT: (Picks Up Phone) Yes? Okay. 1 2 Great. Thanks. 3 MR. LANG: Was that about the technology? THE COURT: Yes. 4 5 MR. LANG: And the -- Was it --THE COURT: Well, let's continue with this 6 7 witness then. BY MR. LANG: 8 9 Okay. Okay. Is there -- You mentioned about 10 the dangerous routes. I don't know -- Well, let's --11 let's go to the -- Let's move towards the present before we talk about that. What about 16 -- The next 12

year would be 16 through 17, with that budget. What exactly happened there? Was there a deficit in that budget?

That budget, we knew that there was going to be a deficit. And the District is very limited in what it's allowed to cut. And what ended up, at the time, I think that was a time -- That was the first time there were layoff -- there were going to be layoffs of teachers.

How many?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't have the exact number. But we were short about a little over Five Million Dollars.

Was a loan issued to the --

1 A Yes, it was.

- 2 Q How much?
- 3 A About 5.4 Million, give or take.
 - Q Okay. And if -- if the District had not gotten the loans, what would have happened?
 - A There would have been layoffs of teachers. And there may have been some other cuts that I don't recall. But the -- the biggest one I remember is the layoffs of the teachers and increases in class size.
 - MR. GROSSMAN: -- Why was this or -- BY MR. LANG:
 - Q Why were you -- Was there courtesy busing in that year?
- 14 A In 16/17, no.
 - Q Why -- So why were you -- why was the District short in funds if there was no courtesy busing?
 - A Because of the -- Because of the increases in the costs of programs. And the inability -- There was no additional State aid. State aid was substantially frozen. And the -- the tax increase was limited to two percent. So you had -- you had increases in transportation costs, regardless of, you know -- Even without courtesy busing. That was when the LSTA was formed. And transportation costs increased because the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

District was responsible to give the LSTA \$884 a The State reimbursed the District the difference between \$730 and \$884. However, at the time, it was costing less than that amount per student for in-house -- for the in-house and the contracted transportation that we paid, was less than that. So that increased in cost. A number of students who were sent out of District for special needs, because of the severe needs of the students and the District did not have the where with all to educate the students with these severe needs. So that increased the number of students who were sent to private schools for the handicapped. Then you had teacher pay raises, health insurance increases. And it was just more than what the increase in State aid and the increase in local taxes could sustain.

- Q Are -- Are those -- The transportation costs to the students to the schools for the handicapped, is there anyway to -- to -- Is that a mandated cost?

 A Yes, it is.
- Q And the transportation costs that you referred to, are -- are those mandated costs?

 A Yes, they are.
- Q And the expense of students going to those schools who are handed -- handicapped, is that a

mandated cost?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes.

Q Okay. Is -- Was there anything -- You were talking about 16 through 17. Is there -- Was there anything that could have been -- else, that could have been taken out of the budget?

A Anything that could have been taken out, was taken out.

Q What was taken out?

Before -- Before the 5.6 Million, anything -anything -- For example, we -- I don't know whether it was 15/16 or 16/17, instead of buying textbooks outright, we did lease purchase of textbooks. So it was to be spread out over more years. One of the big things we did, that was done for 16/17, was that the District started transporting public school students with in-house transportation. We bought a fleet of busses, lease purchase, and also hired drivers. And so the District saved money in transportation by bringing some of the transportation in-house for 16/17. So that was a way that the District saved money. I think at that time it was about a Million Dollars, maybe a little bit more, between 15/16 and 16/17. There was a -- I think there was an Assistant Principal that may have been let go.

1	Q Are we speaking after the loan, the Assistant
2	Principal was let go?
3	A No. This is all The budget was cut down as low
4	as possible, but there was still a shortfall. And the
5	loan The State, after reviewing the budget, realized
6	that there was a shortfall, and did the advanced State
7	aid or or commonly known as a loan, for for the
8	16/17 school year.
9	Q And was that Assistant Principal rehired? In
10	other words, did that
11	A I don't re I don't recall. I don't recall.
12	Q And so, had not that loan come through, what
13	other cuts would have been made?
14	A The biggest thing would have been cuts of
15	teachers.
16	Q Okay. Now, at that time, when the District
17	was still running the transportation, would you
18	characterize that as an efficient system?
19	A 16/17 I would say it was it turned out to be
20	very efficient for that year?
21	MR. INZELBUCH: Efficient?
22	THE WITNESS: Efficient.
23	MR. LANG: Efficient.
24	MR. STARK: Efficient.
25	THE WITNESS: E-F-F-I. (Chuckles)

1 BY MR. LANG:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

21

22

- Q And how -- And how -- What makes a transportation system efficient?
- A Tiering and filling your buses.
 - Q What is tiering?
 - A Tiering is when schools start at different times, so the buses can be used for more than one in-and-out to bring children to and from school.
 - Q Speaking of tiering. And I'm just going to get away from the 16/17. Are you familiar with the -- the start time at Lakewood High School?
- 12 A I know -- Yes, I am.
- Q What time do the kids have to be there?
- A It's my understanding that children are delivered about a quarter to 7.
- Q Why -- Why is that?
- A So there's sufficient time to have breakfast before school starts.
- Q And why is it at that time rather than, say,

 7:30 or some other time?
 - A Well, then what happens is that 7:45 starts the second tier. As -- As for Tier 2, that's when school starts. And 8:30 is when school starts for Tier 3.
- 24 Q Is there a fourth tier?
- 25 A No.

	21141 001 211 000
1	Q Okay. What's What's the second tier
2	What's Well, who's on the first tier? Who gets
3	delivered on the first tier?
4	A I know that Lakewood Middle School and Lakewood
5	High School Wait. I take that back. I don't know.
6	I think Lakewood High School is the only only school
7	for first tier, but I'm not sure. I'd rather not
8	answer that because I don't have that memorized.
9	Q Okay. So getting back to Let's Let's
10	go to 17/18, which I guess Did I skip a year? We
11	did 15/16, 16/17. Now I guess 17/18, which would be
12	the current year.
13	A Okay.
14	Q 17/18. When was that budget actually passed?
15	A It was never passed. The State Monitor approved
16	the budget.
17	Q At what what month, what date and why?
18	A I believe it was end of May or June.
19	Q June? What When When is it normal for
20	school districts to have their budgets approved?
21	A March.
22	Q March?
23	A Then it goes to the county office In March,
24	it's submitted to the county office. The county office

goes over it. And then it's -- then it's -- And then

- there's a public hearing. And then the final approval is usually, I believe, in the beginning of May.
 - Q All right. Now, so this -- this budget was approved after March. Let -- Before I continue with this, let me just go back for the three years you were there, the 16/17, did the Board approve of that budget?

 A No.
 - Q How -- So how did it become a budget?
- 9 A I approved it.

4

5

6

7

- 10 Q Okay. The 17 -- 16/17, what about that one?
- 11 A That's the one you just asked.
- 12 Q Oh. 15/16. 15/16. Did the Board approve 13 15/16?
- 14 A I don't think so.
- 15 Q And 16/17, you said you approved it, the
 16 Board didn't. And 17/18, you also, I guess, already
 17 answered that question.
- MR. INZELBUCH: Well, he didn't answer it.
- 19 BY MR. LANG:
- Q Okay. So what -- Who approved of the budget in 17/18?
- 22 A I did.
- Q Did the Board approve it?
- 24 A No.
- Q Do you know why the Board didn't approve it?

They never -- The public statement was that the 1 Α 2 budget -- the budget did not provide the services that they believed the children required. 3 Let's -- Let's talk about the 17/18 budget. 4 5 When it was first, I guess, introduced -- Well, I --Did you make a public presentation of -- of the budget 6 in January of -- I guess it would be -- Well, that 7 would be the 16. Let's go back to 16/17. 16/17, you 8 have -- What is the deficit in 16/17? 9 A little over Five Million Dollars. 10 Α 11 Okay. So back in January, 16/17, did you 12 make a presentation? Is that when you first started 13 working on it? 14 MR. STARK: Objection. 15 THE WITNESS: I did not make any. 16 MR. STARK: January 16 or January 17? 17 BY MR. LANG: 18 Oh, I'm sorry. So, January of 2016. 19 The same answer. I -- I did not make any budget Α 20 presentations. 21 Okay. 22 Those are made by the Business Administrators. 23 Business Administrators. And -- Okay. And

MR. GROSSMAN: Arthur. He didn't (out of

24

25

-- All right, so --

1 microphone range.)

2 BY MR. LANG:

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 3 All right. So what's the def -- Let's go back to 17/18. Is there a deficit for 17/18?
- 5 Currently, as of right now, no.
 - When the budget was being prepared, back in early -- Well, when was the budget originally being prepared?
 - Α March.
 - March. So in March, was there a deficit going into this budget?
- 12 Yes, there was.
 - How much was it?
 - It was probably more -- Probably about -- At the time, probably about 13, 13 and a half Million Dollars.
 - By the time the budget was -- Well, what --At the time that the budget was passed and adopted --By you, I guess. That's what you testified. What happened to that 13 or 14 or whatever it was you just said?
 - The Superintendent did not recommend the budget. I agreed with the Superintendent. And I also did not -- would not approve the budget. The first draft of the budget. So that's what happened at the first one.
 - What -- What kind of cuts were involved in 0

1 that first draft?

- A Cuts to Guidance. Cuts to Libraries. Cuts to teachers. Cuts to extracurricular activities.
- Athletics. There may have been -- Those -- Those were the biggest cuts.
 - Q Do you remember how many teachers they were proposing cutting?
 - At least 80, 90, if not more. Maybe 100. I don't know the exact number, but it was very substantial.

 Class sizes then would have been up, in the Elementary Schools, would have been in the 30's. In the Middle School, they would have been in the 40's. It was -- And it was only regular ed teachers because we can't cut special education teachers. I should say, the District can't. I'm not -- Not we.
 - Q And when the budget was finally adopted, was some of that deficit covered somehow?
 - A So what happened. When it was finally adopted after -- after the District -- And they were in negotiations with the Department of Education.

 Ultimately what happened was, there was an 11 Million
- Dollar cut. About 2 Million Dollars of it was for pay-backs for prior loans and audits. The agreement was that the State would for -- would forego -- would allow

the District to waive one year of payback. And there

1	was an Eight and a Half Million Dollar loan. Some cuts
2	remained. Non-public related services stayed in there
3	Or, remained as cuts. Co-curricular activities were
4	all cut. Athletics was cut, other than ones I
5	think, track was not cut. Soccer was not cut. And one
6	other And one other sport was not cut. So that at
7	least the District was offering one of those
8	activities. So those remained cut out of the budget.
9	And there were some other reductions that were cut
10	because And they stayed. And that was because the
11	after reviewing the line item, it was determined that
12	that money wouldn't be needed. But the substantial
13	cuts that remained, even after the loan, was the
14	athletics and the non-public related services.
15	Q Now, looking at the 2/15 Well, let's go
16	back to 2/15. I'm going to ask you about all three of

Down, looking at the 2/15 -- Well, let's go back to 2/15. I'm going to ask you about all three of these budgets. The 2/15 to 2/16. Were -- Were there anymore cuts? What kind of -- After the loan, how would you characterize the budget?

A After -- After the loans, the budget was sufficient for to deliver the services to the students.

THE COURT: How much was the loan?

THE WITNESS: In -- In 15/16, that was the Four and a Half Million Dollars.

THE COURT: I'm talking about 17/18.

	Sharter - Direct 21
1	THE WITNESS: 17/18? It was It was about
2	Eight and a Half Million Dollars.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	BY MR. LANG:
5	Q Was there something in addition to the Eight
6	and a Half Million Dollars?
7	A Also that was cut was the payback.
8	Q How much was that?
9	A I'm saying it was roughly about Two Million
10	Dollars.
11	Q So that would be a total of how much to the
12	State
13	A Ten and a Half. Co-curr Extracurricular State
14	cut, that was another Half Million Dollars. Then the
15	non-public related services that was cut, that was
16	another Half Million Dollars. And then there were some
17	other reductions that that stayed. But again, those
18	were those stayed because it was felt that there
19	were sufficient funds in those line items for those
20	areas.
21	Q Was that a bare-bone budget? The one that
22	was passed?
23	A I believe it was.
24	Q Pardon. I I didn't hear. I'm sorry.

A I believe it was.

28 Shafter - Direct 1 I didn't hear you. What? I believe it was. 2 And the -- And the 16/17 budget, after it was 3 4 passed, after the loan was adopted, was that a bare-5 bones budget? 6 In my opinion, yes. 7 Q And 15/16? 15/16, there weren't cuts. That was just how the 8 9 budget was. And again, that was a -- that was an 10 extremely responsible budget. 11 Between these three years, was there anything else possible to cut? 12 13 I don't believe so, without affecting the services 14 to the students. No. 15 And what -- If further cuts would have been 16 made, would there have been increased class sizes? 17 Either increased class sizes or programs may not 18 have been offered. Things like that. 19 Were -- Are you able to cut anything from 20 special education? 21 Α No. 22 Transportation? Q 23 Α No. 24 So where would the cuts would have been made

if there had been further cuts?

	21.02001 221.000
1	A It would have been coming from regular regular
2	education and administration.
3	Q And how would that affect the studets?
4	A Well, the State has a model.
5	MR. STARK: Objection. There's not a
6	foundation.
7	THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
8	MR. LANG: Well
9	MR. STARK: Mr. Shafter can testify as to how
LO	individual students would be affected.
L1	MR. LANG: Oh, in
L2	THE COURT: He can talk about the the
L3	impact.
L 4	MR. STARK: Okay.
L5	MR. LANG: The budget In front of a
L 6	budgetary
L7	MR. STARK: For the record, Your Honor.
L 8	THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the question
L 9	again?
20	THE WITNESS: From a budgetary point of view.
21	BY MR. LANG:
22	Q But I want to be more specific in light of
23	what Mr. Stark asked. So, how would that affect the
24	regular education in the District?
25	A If cuts were made?

If further cuts were made. Since you can't 1 Q 2 cut from special education or transportation. Increases in class size. And possibly program 3 cuts and other services. 4 5 And -- And would it -- would there have been 6 cuts in --7 Α Well, the area -- the area --Q -- staff, teachers? 8 9 The areas that you can cut. Guidance. You can 10 cut libra -- You can cut media. You can cut nursing 11 services. And you can cut, you know, regular 12 education. And you can cut administration. 13 You can cut teachers also? 14 Α Yes. 15 And what about security? 16 Security? There's no requirement. But I think --Α 17 I think it would be -- to have security. But I think 18 it definitely would affect the health and safety of the 19 students not to have security. 20 So, would -- would you characterize Lakewood 21 as having a -- I don't know. What is the problem with 22 Lakewood? I don't want to ask a leading question? 23 MR. INZELBUCH: Yeah, that's pretty --24 MR. LANG: Well, is it -- is it a revenue --25 I'll ask --

THE COURT: Why are you -- Why are you there?

MR. INZELBUCH: The Judge will ask him.

THE COURT: Why are you there?

THE WITNESS: I'm in Lakewood because there is a -- At the time I went to Lakewood. You ask -- You ask why I was there. What I discovered, there was -there was a big problem with the financial records. For example, students were placed in the -- in the nonpublic -- in the private schools for the handicapped. Yet, there were no purchase orders for these students in the system. So there's -- So there was no way of knowing how much was being spent, how much was not being spent. Students may have moved out of the District, but there was no reduction in the purchase order for the private school of the handicapped. again, there was no way of knowing what was going on. And it was predominant in Lakewood that -- that purchase orders were not being prepared in a timely manner. And so, when you would look at the financial records, you really couldn't believe whether they were accurate or not.

BY MR. LANG:

- Q Was that ever corrected?
- 24 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

O When was it corrected?

A It was corr -- It was -- It started to be corrected in the 16/17 school year. And it's completely corrected, as far as I know, right now. And the correction was, for example, with the private schools for the handicapped. When a child goes to a private school for the handicapped, the funds are immediately encumbered. Once the Board of Education approves the placement, and there's a contract signed between the Board of Education and the private school, then a purchase order is generated. But the fact that it's encumbered, that way when you look in the financial records, you know that every student that's in a placement, you know that the funds are already accounted for.

- Q What were -- Was the money generated, before this correction made, was it legal? In other words, did this cause an extra expense to the District?

 A I can't answer that for 15/16. Because I wasn't there for the whole year.
- Q But 16/17 it was corrected. Is that what you said?
- A Yes.

- Q Okay. And so, does Lakewood have a spending problem?
- A I don't think so.

Q Does it have a revenue problem?

MR. INZELBUCH: What does he mean by that?

MR. LANG: I'll ask in a minute.

THE WITNESS: I think there's insufficient revenues to cover the required expenditures. Which is why we have advanced State aid. Which is why the District has advanced State aid, or otherwise known as loans, commonly known as loans, to make up the shortfall.

BY MR. LANG:

Q You said Lakewood does not have a spending problem. Could you explain that?

I go over that budget with a fine tooth comb.

16/17, 17/18. And I made sure that that budget was appropriate. Looked at -- Would look at historical data. Look at the projections, or the number of students that were -- that were going to be placed in private schools. Looked at the projections of the growth of the -- of the non-public population, in order to look at -- for transportation services. So, as far as on the expenditure side, I know that's not an issue. Since I -- Before I got to the District, when they -- when the District would look at special education students. They would say, We have no place in the District so we have to send them out. Since we got

there, I -- I gave the a blanket statement last year.

"If you ever need a teacher, just because there's no money in that line item to start a class, rather than sending students out-of-district, we hire the teacher.

We hire the paraprofessional." And since that time, the -- the District has opened a number of in-house special education classrooms. One of the reasons for renting the Piner School was to increase the number of classrooms available to the District. It's -- They started a preschool, a regular preschool program, which is a hundred percent funded by the State and the Federal government.

But in addition, it opened up classrooms for preschool disabled children. And -- And as that population has increased, most of the increase is going in-house. In fact, last night, the Board of Education improved a resolution to start searching for additional space for next year to expand in-house preschool edu -- preschool disabled programs in-district.

- Q Is that in the -- in the budget for next year?
- A We don't have a budget yet. But -- But it will be in there.
- Q Okay. And that -- Should that save the District money?

A Well, it -- it'll save -- The budget's still going to go up, but it will go up slower. Because rather than spending, you know, 70, 80, 90 Thousand Dollars a student, to place the District in a private school. This way, with the District, the child can be educated, first of all, in the least restrictive environment in the District, which is a Federal law. And at the same time, the in-district costs are much less than out-of-district costs.

A The additional classrooms were for preschool. But in the last year, I know we brought back first graders. Not brought back, but we -- we -- instead of placing them out, first graders were -- were placed in district because we had -- we had a special classroom for -- I think it was an ABS. I forget what the --

MR. INZELBUCH: ABA.

THE COURT: ABA.

THE WITNESS: ABA. Okay.

BY MR. LANG:

Q Now, the -- the tuition that's listed on the -- budget for all those years, for 2015, 2016, 2017, all those years. All those years we've been talking about. Are -- Is there any plans to -- to bring those -- Well, is it possible to reduce that by bringing some

1 of those kids -- Or is there any plans to bring them 2 in-house? It is very hard --3 MR. STARK: Objection. Can I just get a clar 4 5 6 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Wait. 7 MR. LANG: Yeah. MR. STARK: I -- I just want to get a -- That 8 9 was a very long and --10 MR. LANG: I was trying to rephrase. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. Let's --12 MR. STARK: -- and confusing question. 13 MR. LANG: Let me rephrase it. 14 MR. STARK: Thank you. 15 BY MR. LANG: 16 You spoke about some kind of savings with 17 preschool and first grade. What about beyond first 18 grade? 19 Well, for new -- for new students, yes, it's 20 always -- The district always looks for, you know, for 21 the least restrictive program. As far as students who 22 are already out of district, it's extremely hard in any 23 district, I have found over -- over my experience as a 24 business administrator, to bring back a student who has

been out of district for most of their -- most of their

student life. And so, the way districts can save money is -- is to -- is starting in-district programs for the new students. And eventually, as the out-of-district students graduate, or turn age 21, then that's how you start saving your money. It's a long term solution.

Q Have you ever brought any districts out of -that were out-of-district, brought them back into
district?

A Yes. Yes.

Q So, the District -- So -- All right. Fine.

I'm not -- Scratch that. So, you testified that you had experience in Trenton, Camden, East Windsor, Will -- Willingboro and Beverly. So, and now Lakewood.

Have -- Is any district, in your experience, similar to Lakewood?

A Lakewood has -- has a unique student population.

Q Can you explain that?

A In most districts, your -- your public school population is -- is the greater of the -- Between public school and non-public school, your public school population is the greater of the two. For example, in -- in the City of Camden, there are, you know, thousands of -- I think at the time when I was there, it was about 13, 14 thousand public school students, and we sent -- And the non-public population was a very

small percentage of that. East Windsor Regional, the non-public population was very small. There was -There were maybe two non-public schools. One was located right -- right in East Windsor Township. And another was -- was located just outside the Township, that -- that the school had to provide non-public services for. In Lakewood, you have a public school population of about 6,000 students and a non-public population of about 30,000 students. And -- And that's what makes Lakewood unique from any other district that I have worked in.

Q And what kind of challenges does that cause you as a fiscal -- as the fiscal monitor?

A The challenge is -- is that, in my previous districts, when you -- when you raise your taxes, you would have your -- you would have your -- your adjustment based on population. You could do that if your population was growing. And it was enough to have a pub -- the increase for the public school, the increase for with the cap, whatever that at the time, and that always changes throughout the years. And State aid would also be increasing. What's happened in my final years of Camden, and then when I came to Lakewood is that, what happens is that the Lakewood public school population stays relatively the same. In

one year there was an estimate that -- that it would increase more than a percentage, which would allow for a growth adjustment. But it was just for the one year and it was a very small adjustment. Where the non-public population has been increasing about ten percent a year. In a district where your non-public population is the smaller of the two percentages, your -- your taxes and your increase in State aid are sufficient to cover the costs that have to be paid now for the non-public population.

Now, for example, -- you do get categorical aid, which includes transportation, aid in lieu, and transportation of your non-public students. But even -- even -- The way the formula's supposed to work, you get your categorical aid. Then you use some of your equalization aid. And then you use some of your tax money. And that's how the students are transported. But when your categorical aid is frozen, and when your equalization aid is frozen, and your tax rate can only go up two percent, and you have a non-public population that's increasing, then the only place that's -- that's left to take the money from, is from the public school students.

Q Now you mentioned, the only places to take from the public school students. Does Lakewood

staffing -- Is it above the State levels or below, from your knowledge?

A Well, the State has a model. For example, I think it's grades K-2 is 21. Grades 2 through 8 is 22 students. And Grade 9 through 12, 23 students, as the student teacher ratio. And Lakewood student teacher ratio, there's much -- the students per teacher is much higher than those in the model. I think in the Middle School it's about 28, 29, as opposed to 23. The High School, I'm not too sure about. Elementary School, the last time I looked at it, it was about 24 -- You know, a few students higher per teacher.

Q And what about administrators. Does Lakewood have less or more of them than the State model?

Less -- They have less than the State model.

Q I forgot what I was going to ask about funding. All right. Let's talk about this year, 2000 -- the one they're budget -- the one they're doing now; 2018 through 2019. Is there a deficit going -- in the preparation of this budget?

A In the most -- In the preliminary work -- I haven't seen the detail work. But the preliminary work, which I reviewed, there was a potential deficit of anywhere between 17 and 23 Million Dollars.

Q In the --

1 I have a sheet that was presented to the Board Α with me, if I'm allowed to take it out. 2 Okay. Now --3 Q THE COURT: Not yet. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. 6 MR. LANG: Let me -- Could I --7 THE WITNESS: I'm dying to. BY MR. LANG: 8 9 Could I show you some letters? And I'm going to mark these. I mean, how do I -- Tell me how to do 10 11 this. MR. INZELBUCH: Glenn, ask him why it's 12 13 (out of microphone range.) BY MR. LANG: 14 15 Oh. Why is it -- Oh, I remember my old 16 question was that I wanted to ask. Could I just go 17 back to that last line of questioning? 17/18 -- 17 18 through 18. And you mentioned that the public school 19 non-public population goes up ten percent a year. 20 Where in the budget does this -- Where in the budget is this -- does this affect? What -- What is the affect 21 22 on the budget, by the non-public school going --23 population going up ten percent a year? 24 Well, the -- the affect is in transportation. 25

School District, based on the latest law, contributes

to the -- Or I don't -- I don't know if you'd call it contribute. But it pays the LSTA,, Lakewood Student Transportation Authority, \$1,000 per student. After the -- After the non-public aid, transportation aid, and the additional non-public transportation aid is funded by Chapter -- funded with leftover funds from 192 and 93. It's \$710 a student. So if you're given If you have a --

The District pays \$710?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's -- That's what it ultimately costs the District. \$710 after you subtract the non-public aid, transportation aid that's received in July, and then there was additional one that increased it from \$884 to \$1000, that the State is going to pay the difference for. So the district pays \$710. So if -- if you have -- So if you increase 1000 students -- What's that? That's \$710,000 that the District has to pay. But there's -- But the increased taxes, of which are about Two Million Dollars for next year, that's -- that barely pays for an increase in health insurance. if State aid stays the same, then you have seven hun --And all things being the same, then you have \$710,000 that now has to be reduced from other areas of the budget.

Q And what -- what is ten percent of 30,000

1 children?

- A 3,000.
- 3 O And is that the increase in --
- A This -- This year the increase was about 2,000 something.
 - Q Being transported or --
 - A No. The increase between 15/16 and 16/17 -- I'm sorry. Between 16/17 and 17/18, there was about 2,000.
 - Q All right. Is that the number of students being transported or the number of enrollment?
 - A The increase -- The increase in the number of students being transported, non-public students.
 - Q Uh huh.

A 17/18, 18/19, we're still waiting for the numbers from the LSTA. As I -- As I told the Director, we need your best estimate. I can't tell the -- tell the Board or tell anybody, Well, we need this amount of money because you told me we need it. I need your surveys from the schools showing -- And he -- And he did send me surveys from about 50 or 60 of the schools so far.

So -- So, I want -- I want to make sure there's backup. Because every dollar that we have to budget for something else means a reduction in -- in the public school budget. So that's why we have to keep looking at this stuff.

1 Q Now, when -- when you send kids out of
2 district, that tuition expense, are those public school
3 kids?

A They are public school students.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q So how -- how does the increase in the non-public population affect the -- affect special education?

I'm not an actuary. But actuarially, you know out of every -- every so many students, some of them are going to be special needs and some of them -- and some of them will be severely -- have severe special needs that will -- that will need a free and appropriate education. So what happens is, those students are enrolled as public school students. And then the Lakewood School District pays to send those students out of district. Now, in the State aid formula, again, there's -- I don't know the exact percentage. But there's a percentage that's used, based on the public school population, that this percentage of students will probably have, you know, off -- give or take, will need special education services. Whether it be inhouse, whether it be students sent to private schools for the handicapped, etcetera. But what happens is, because the District is also sending children who are enrolling from the non-public population, that percent

	Shafter - Direct 45
1	of the non-public population is not considered when
2	determining special educa categorical special
3	education aid.
4	Q So how does this how does this cause the
5	expenses to go up?
6	A That's part of the reason why there is an Eight
7	and a Half Million Dollar loan for the 16/17 school
8	year. Because there was insufficient funds between the
9	local taxes and State aid to pay for these expenses.
10	Q I see. I see. Do you know how many non-
11	public schools there are in Lakewood?
12	A Over a hundred. I just know there's over a
13	hundred.
14	Q All right. So, now let All right. So, I
15	think that now we can get back to the 18/19 budget.
16	And you you said there was a 17 to 23 deficit.
17	MR. INZELBUCH: Why?
18	BY MR. LANG:
19	Q Why is that?
20	A Again, can I can I give you a piece of paper to
21	introduce? So I can read off of it. As opposed to
22	THE COURT: Well, have you shown it?
23	THE WITNESS: trying to work from my

25 MR. INZELBUCH: Will it refresh your

from my mind?

	Shafter - Direct 46
1	recollection?
2	THE COURT: Okay. Well does it refresh your
3	recollection?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	MR. LANG: Oh, I have it
6	MR. INZELBUCH: Let him The Judge is
7	letting him refresh his recollection.
8	THE COURT: If he needs it to refresh his
9	recollection.
10	MR. INZELBUCH: Let him He's fine. We
11	don't need more of your input.
12	MR. LANG: Okay. Maybe
13	THE WITNESS: What I'm reading off of is a
14	document that was prepared, that I reviewed about six
15	weeks ago.
16	THE COURT: Which concerns what?
17	THE WITNESS: Which concerns the 18/19
18	budget.
19	MR. STARK: Your Honor, is it something that
20	we can see?
21	MR. LANG: No, this is his It's not
22	MR. INZELBUCH: This is his memory aid.
23	MR. STARK: I'm not
24	THE WITNESS: And this this was a power
25	point presentation made to the Board of Education at a

	Shafter - Direct 47
1	public meeting.
2	MR. LANG: Oh, I I sent it to you in
3	It's in the big stuff.
4	MR. INZELBUCH: Whether you sent it or not
5	THE COURT: Okay. Wait. Do you have it?
6	MR. LANG: It's a page from a power point. I
7	think
8	MR. STARK: I don't I mean, I don't know
9	if
10	THE COURT: Yeah. He just needs
11	THE WITNESS: Can we show it?
12	MR. LANG: You can show him.
13	THE COURT: You can show it.
14	MR. LANG: It's like a 16 page document that
15	they presented to the public. It was a power point.
16	But I sent it to you in an Adobe In one of the Bates
17	things. You can show it to him.
18	THE COURT: All right. He needs it to
19	refresh his recollection.
20	MR. INZELBUCH: It's okay.
21	MR. LANG: Yeah.
22	THE COURT: So he can look at it.
23	MR. LANG: Yeah.

MR. INZELBUCH: Go ahead, you could refresh

24

your recollection.

THE COURT: And if it's just to refresh his 1 2 recollection, he can look at it. THE WITNESS: Okay. So what it shows is, the 3 loan for 17/18 school year was -- was 8-5-2-2, the 4 5 exact number. The loan, audit repayment deferral, 6 that -- that was allowed to be deferred for this year, 7 was a little over Two Million Dollars. And then there was a Township Sports Grant, to cover the -- the 8 9 Athletics that was cut from this year's budget. So that's 11 Million Dollars. So if nothing is changed 10 11 between 16 -- between 17/18 and 18/19, it auto -- it 12 starts with an 11 Million Dollar deficit, if nothing 13 changes. THE COURT: You mean it starts out the same 14 15 way. 16 THE WITNESS: It starts out with la -- with 17 the 11 Million Dollars. 18 THE COURT: You just pull up last year's 19 budget. 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Right off the bat. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 THE WITNESS: If nothing changed in the 23 budget, that's -- the School District's starting with 24 an 11 Million Dollar deficit. Salary increases are not

included in these numbers at all. When this was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

presented, I didn't want -- Originally, they were -- I said, "No, we're not going to even allow the -- the Unions to even see even a half percent." So -- So, it shows no -- nothing for salary increases. The increased benefits cost is estimated at 3.2 Million. The increased tuition is estimated at 5.9 Million. Increase in transportation was 2 Million 283. And the charter school enrollment, that's growing, they're going to be growing a grade. And that's an estimated \$728,000. Now that comes up to 23 Million 290 Dollars. That's the anticipated deficit just looking at these four areas, not including any salary increases, not including anything for increases in textbooks, supplies, rent for new facilities. Now granted, if -if we rent new fac -- if the district finds new facilities in time, then maybe some of the increase in tuition would be a little -- will be a little bit less. Because -- But the -- The net -- The net affect would be a reduction in this course. So we're up to 23,2.9 Million.

MR. LANG: Up until now --

THE COURT: Wait, wait. So, you're taking that 11 Million and you're adding these numbers to it?

THE WITNESS: Right. I'm adding increased

1	benefits, increased out-of-district tuition, increases
2	in transportation, and increases in charter schools.
3	THE COURT: All right. And what was the
4	total number again?
5	THE WITNESS: 23 Million 290 Thousand, 988
6	Dollars.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	BY MR. LANG:
9	Q What's going to What What All right.
10	Just Up until Obviously there was no loan made
11	this year. But how much money, up until now, does the
12	District owe the State in advance?
13	A No. There was a loan made this year. 8.5 Million
14	Dollars.
15	Q Oh, yeah. This year. So, do you know the
16	total between all the years, how much the District
17	owes?
18	A 8 and a half. 4 and a half. That's about 13.
19	And another 5. Probably about 17 Million.
20	MR. LANG: Okay. Now, I'd like to Your
21	Honor, I want to get these in. I want to show you what
22	I have marked as as P-57, P-58 and P-59. These are
23	letters that the Superintendent sent down on February
24	5th, 2018, February 18th, 2018 and February 15th, 2018.

And they were copied to -- to Mr. Shafter. And I have

copies for everyone here. I'd like to ask the witness

if he can identify them.

(P-57, P-58 and P-59

marked for

Identification)

MR. STARK: Your Honor, these documents were just provided to us toda -- Or, today or yesterday?

MS. PRAPAS: This morning.

MR. STARK: This morning. So, to the extent that we're going to be asking these to be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Well, do you need time to look at them?

MR. STARK: I mean, we've -- we've looked at them. It's -- It's just, I mean, we're talking about documents that were -- that, at least in part, or at least in all of them, were prepared subsequent to the beginning of the hearing in this case. So, you know, we don't -- we don't know if there was any -- And I believe they were written by Ms. Winters. I don't know to the extent that these documents were drafted with her testimony in mind. I don't know the extent to -- that these documents were drafted with, you know, with -- I don't know -- I don't know the circumstances under which these documents were drafted.

1	MR. LANG: Your Honor, these documents were
2	sent to the Commissioner of Education, Respondents, his
3	client. One of them is sent to the Lakewood staff.
4	And that's how I came across it, because I'm a teacher.
5	And it is copied to This one over here is copied to
6	the State Monitor. And this one is to Lakewood School
7	District's staff members.
8	THE COURT: Well, why don't you just ask him
9	if he received any correspondence from the
10	Superintendent.
11	MR. LANG: Okay.
12	THE COURT: I mean, he might not even
13	remember it.
14	MR. LANG: Okay.
15	THE COURT: And what does what does that
16	have to do with his expertise?
17	BY MR. LANG:
18	Q Oh, it does. So are you familiar with the
19	February 5th, 2018 letter that the Superintendent sent
20	to the Acting Commissioner, Dr. Repollet? Well, I
21	mean, it would much easier if I just I don't
22	understand.
23	THE COURT: Just ask him.
24	MR. INZELBUCH: Just ask him if he's seen any
25	letter

1	RY	MR	LANG:
_		T.TT / •	TIANG.

- Q Have you seen -- Have you seen any letters that Ms. Winters has sent?
 - A I -- I have seen letters that Ms. Winters has sent. I received copies in my email. And I did a, you know, a summary reading of them. I didn't read them in great detail. But I did a summary reading of them.
 - Q And on February 15th, could I -- Are you familiar with the Board of Education saying that they will not send out any RIFs this year.
 - A Yes, I am. I was present at the meeting when they passed a resolution.
 - Q Okay. That's --

THE COURT: They won't send out any what?

MR. INZELBUCH: Reduction in force letters.

MR. LANG: They're not going to fire teachers.

THE COURT: Oh. They don't want --

MR. LANG: That's -- That's why I wanted the 15th let -- the February 5th letter.

MR. GROSSMAN: (Out of microphone range)

MR. LANG: I know what to ask him. So, the Board of Education's decided not to fire teachers, does that mean teachers are not going to be fired?

MR. STARK: Objection. That calls for a

	Sharter - Direct 54
1	speculation that
2	MR. LANG: No.
3	THE COURT: It does
4	MR. LANG: Let's Let's put it this way.
5	THE COURT: What What is He's preparing
6	He's helping to prepare the budget. He's going to
7	get the budget from the business administrator. Is
8	that right?
9	THE WITNESS: Correct.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Then he's going to go over
11	it for next year. That's what this witness is about.
12	It's not about what people are telling him. It's about
13	what he can do with the budget.
14	MR. INZELBUCH: Here. Can you just let him
15	
16	MR. LANG: If If you let me ask the
17	question. Do you have the power to fire teachers?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	MR. LANG: Okay. That's what I wanted to
20	ask.
21	MR. INZELBUCH: Even if the Superintendent
22	MR. LANG: Even if the Superintendent says
23	MR. GROSSMAN: And the Board
24	MR. LANG: And the Board decides not to fire
25	teachers?

	Shafter - Direct 55
1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	MR. LANG: That's the point.
3	THE COURT: He's the State Monitor. He
4	basically oversees the whole District. That's his job
5	title. I think they would stipulate to it.
6	MR. LANG: Yes.
7	THE COURT: That's what he does.
8	MR. STARK: The State Monitor's role is
9	spelled out in statute.
10	MR. LANG: Okay. So
11	MR. STARK: Authority and his
12	responsibilities.
13	BY MR. LANG:
14	Q So now my question is. The budget right now
15	that you said has a 17 to 23 Million Dollar deficit,
16	when does that have to be What's What's co
17	When does When does that budget have to be
18	completed?
19	A End of March.
20	Q End of March. So, what happens at the end of
21	March if there's no 17 to 23 Million Dollar loan?
22	A I haven't decided yet what I'm going to do.
23	MR. INZELBUCH: But what are the options?
24	MR. LANG: What are the options?

THE WITNESS: Excuse -- The options?

BY MR. LANG:

- Q What are your options?
- A The options are, is to leave the budget unbalanced and write a letter to the Department of Education on -- on my opinion of the budget. An option I have is to reduce the budget by various line items which would result in reductions of staff. May it be teachers, administrators, security guards, nurses, guidance counselors, libraries. Similar -- Similar to what happened last year. Or -- Those are my two options.
- Q The first option was -- was what? I'm sorry.

 A Was to leave the budget alone. Let it be submitted not balanced. And write a letter stating why the -- the Board of Education needs the funds in order to balance the budget.
- Q What -- What -- Then what happens after that? What's -- What is the authority of the Department of Education?
- A The Department would then review the budget, review the letter, meet with me, meet with, you know, Mr. Azzara, if possible, to go over the budget. What happened last year was that, you know, the County -- the County Business Administrator reviewed the budget. They made some suggestions. I met -- I spoke with him. I said, Well, this suggestion's not possible, and

	Shafter - Direct 57
1	totally explained why. This suggestion's not possible;
2	explained why. And that's that's basically what
3	They're are the options, for me.
4	Q Last year Last year, the reductions in
5	forces, did they go out?
6	A Yes.
7	
8	Q Why did they go out last year?
9	A Because there was had I was We balanced
10	the budget because you By law you have to submit a
11	balanced budget. And that's why a budget was submitted
12	that had those RIF letters in it.
13	Q Okay. So come March, when you have those two
14	options, will it be necessary Or, I mean, you can
15	answer based on the options. Will it be necessary to
16	send out RIFs, reductions in forces?
17	MR. STARK: Objection. This is This is
18	speculative.
19	MR. LANG: It's not speculation.
20	THE COURT: It is.
21	MR. LANG: He said there's two options.
22	THE COURT: He already said he
23	MR. LANG: So I'm going to try to ask if

MR. INZELBUCH: Which option is he going --

those two options include RIFs.

24

4	D 17	7 (T 7 3 T C
Τ	BY	MR.	LANG:

- Q Which option. Okay.
- 3 A Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Which option would require sending out RIFs?

 A Well, Rif -- RIF letters don't go out til May. So there's a lot of time between the end of March and May to resolve the expenditures and revenues.
- Q So -- So if this is not resolved til May, then it's mandated to send out RIF letters?
 - A In order to submit a balanced budget; yes.
 - Q Okay. Which option are you leaning towards?
- A I do not have an opinion yet.
 - Q Okay. So come -- come May -- come May, and if this is not resolved, will RIFs go out?
- MR. STARK: Objection. The witness just testified --
- 17 THE COURT: He just doesn't know.
- 18 MR. STARK: -- that he did not have an opinion as to what's going to happen yet.
 - MR. LANG: No wait. I said, if -- if it's not resolved by May will it be necessary to send out RIFs. That's my question.
 - THE WITNESS: It will be necessary to send out RIFS unless, for some reason -- I'm not even going to -- I'm not even going to go there. It would be

	Shafter - Direct 59
1	necessary to send out send out RIFs.
2	BY MR. LANG:
3	Q So your answer is affirmative. It will be
4	necessary to send out RIFs, if it's not resolved.
5	A In order to balance the budget. Yes.
6	Q Okay. All right.
7	THE COURT: If the situation's not otherwise
8	resolved.
9	THE WITNESS: By a increase in revenue.
10	Correct?
11	MR. LANG: That is exactly what I wanted to
12	know.
13	MR. INZELBUCH: Glenn, increase in revenue by
14	who?
15	MR. LANG: No. Leave me alone.
16	MR. INZELBUCH: Do you even know where leave
17	me alone comes from?
18	MR. LANG: Okay. What?
19	MR. INZELBUCH: It's like vaudeville, I swear
20	to God.
21	BY MR. LANG:
22	Q Oh. Hold on one second. One second. Now,
23	is there any expense involved to the District in
24	administrating non-public programs?
25	A Yes.

1 Q Can you explain that?

A Well, it does take time to manage the programs, to manage the expense of the programs. However, a lot of this expense -- I know you don't -- A lot of this expense, there's -- there's an administrative cost that's allowed to be charged to non-public programs.

MR. INZELBUCH: Taken out of the grant.

BY MR. LANG:

Q So there is? You're answering in the affirmative. There is an administrative cost to the District in -- in the non-public program.

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ INZELBUCH:}\ $\operatorname{He}\ $\operatorname{said}\ it\ $\operatorname{comes}\ $\operatorname{out}\ $\operatorname{of}\ $\operatorname{the}\ $\operatorname{grant.}$

BY MR. LANG:

Q Oh. It only comes out of grants, it doesn't come out of the operating budget?

A Well, of course, there's -- But I don't know how you would quantify it. For example, we have a purchasing agent and an assistant -- an assistant.

Naturally, this purchasing agent does purchase orders for non-public programs. The point is, would we need less of a -- would we be able to reduce the number of personnel if we didn't have the non-public programs? I don't know. Two people for a purchasing department is -- is not unreasonable, whether you have non-public

	Sharter - Direct
1	programs or not. So So in that case, there's
2	efforts involved, but it may not result in extra cost.
3	Now, when you have somebody who has to monitor the
4	Chapter 192 and 93, yes, they're staffed higher to do
5	that specifically. But those staff are charged to the
6	grant.
7	Q Oh, so Okay. So the answer is no then.
8	Okay, I see. All right. Now Now, you worked for
9	Camden and Trenton. How does Lakewood compare to
10	Abbott Districts? Because those are Abbott Districts.
11	Those two Abbott Districts.
12	MR. STARK: Objection.
13	MR. LANG: Okay.
14	MR. STARK: I mean, is there
15	MR. INZELBUCH: In what way?
16	MR. STARK: Is there a time?
17	MR. INZELBUCH: In what manner are they
18	MR. STARK: Is there a time, you know, that's
19	associated with this? The question
20	MR. LANG: Well, during the time you were
21	there in those districts.
22	MR. GROSSMAN: And you were in Lakewood.
23	MR. LANG: And the time you were in Lakewood.
24	What What years were you in Trenton?
25	THE WITNESS: I was in Trenton prior to it

	1
	Shafter - Direct 62
1	being called an Abbott District.
2	BY MR. LANG:
3	Q Okay. And Camden. When were you in Camden?
4	A Camden, I was there from Probably from about
5	2009 through 2000 and Five years I was there.
6	Towards the end of 2015. So that was an Abbott
7	District at the time I was
8	Q So right before before Lakewood. Okay.
9	And how did How Does Lakewood have anything in
10	comparison to for what you understand Abbott
11	District characteristics?
12	MR. STARK: Objection. That's an overly
13	broad question.
14	THE WITNESS: I don't
15	THE COURT: Yeah. Like how
16	MR. LANG: Like, take Camden.
17	THE COURT: Like, yeah, how would you compare
18	Camden to Lakewood?
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't understand the
20	question, sir.
21	MR. LANG: All right. Let me That's what
22	the Judge
23	MR. INZELBUCH: The Judge just asked the
24	question.

MR. LANG: How would you compare Lakewood to

Camden? 1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 THE COURT: During the time periods that you 3 were in there.

> THE WITNESS: Camden is an urban district. Lakewood, I don't believe is considered urban, as an urban district, as, you know, as the City of Camden is. The City of Camden has a much larger public school population than Lakewood. They have a much smaller non-public population than Lakewood; when I was there. Camden, I did not have a problem in Camden regarding matching expenditures to revenues. It was -- It was always easy to balance the budget --UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Yeah. I know how it is,

sir.

15 THE WITNESS: -- in Camden.

BY MR. LANG:

- What City has more people, Camden or Lakewood?
- 19 I don't know.
 - Okay. Is there -- Did you say Lakewood --Camden's urban. What do you mean by urban? It's a city with city limits. Lakewood's a township.
 - In terms of poverty of the students, is there a difference?

A Both -- Both --

MR. STARK: Objection. Is there a -- Is there a foundation as to whether or not this witness can testify to the relative poverty levels --

THE COURT: If he knows.

MR. STARK: -- of the popula -- populations?
BY MR. LANG:

Q Although you have the at risk students.

MR. INZELBUCH: If you know.

THE WITNESS: Both Lakewood and Camden have

-- I forget the exact term. But all of the students

are entitled to a free lunch -- for the free lunch

program. Because they have a -- It's a district wide

level, as opposed to having to receive individual

applications from each -- as in other areas. So they

both -- both have a hundred percent -- A hundred

percent of their students are entitled to a free

breakfast and a free lunch. As far as quantities of

students who are in poverty, I -- I don't know that

answer.

BY MR. LANG:

- Q Do you know any other districts that are like that? That have this a hundred percent free lunch that you mentioned.
- A I know the City -- the City of Philadelphia does.

But specifically in New Jersey; no, I don't. There may be others. But I'm not -- I'm not aware of them.

Q Now, you talked about taxes. Are you familiar with what's called the local fair share in the SFRA?

A Yes.

A Adequacy budget. Okay. The local fair share is calculated. There's an adequacy -- adequacy budget. Then there's a local fair share, which is -- which is calculated by a percent times equalized valuation with a weight, I believe. It used to be a half. I don't know whether it's still a half. Then a percent times the gross income of the -- of the municipality. You add those two numbers together. That's the local fair share. Subtracted from the adequacy budget. And that's basically what -- State aid is supposed to make up the difference.

Q Would it make a difference if the adequacy budget was 200 Million or 300 Million? In other words, would the size of the adequacy budget, which is the requirement -- Well, what is the adequacy budget? What is -- What is the adequacy budget?

A That's based on the pop -- the population of the public school students. There's a -- There's -- It's

broken down into categories; kindergarten, grades 1
through 5, 6-8, 9-12. There's weight -- There's
weights assigned to each of the grades. It's
multiplied out. Then they have the -- And then they
have the number weighted. The weighted enrollment.
And then there's another formula that's used to
determine how much should be -- the expenditure per
student. I think that's what the State uses the
efficiency model for, to come up with the cost of what
education should cost. And that's -- There's a cost
factor multiplied times the enrollment factor. That
comes up with your adequacy budget.

Q And the special education part of that in the budget?

A I can't answer that.

- Q Okay. Does it make a difference in how big the adequacy budget is? If it's a Hundred Million, Two Hundred Million; does that affect the local fair share?

 A The local fair share is always the same because it's based on the equalized valuation and the incomes. So, no, the adequacy budget does not -- has nothing to do with the local fair share.
- Q Okay. All right. (Out of microphone range) What -- What are the terms of the loans that -- over the years?

1 A Ten year payback.

is outstanding?

- Q Ten year payback? The Court -- Your

 appointment as State Monitor, what's the law concerning

 the tenure at the Lake's -- the State Monitor, like, do

 you -- Specifically, do you have to -- Does the State

 Monitor have to be in the district as long as the loan
 - A A State Monitor has to be in the District for as long as the loan is outstanding.
 - Q Okay. Is there -- Are you familiar with the current situation that -- any negotiations this year concerning the deficit of 17 to 23 Million?
 - A Negotiations regarding?
 - Q With the Department of Education.
- 15 A Not yet.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q And what about concerning deferring payment on the previous loans?
- A The deferral is -- We had -- There was a deferral last year. There's a deferral this year. Each year stands on its own.
- MR. LANG: Okay. All right. I'm -- I'm finished. Thank you.
- 23 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. GROSSMAN: No further questions.
- MR. LANG: No further questions.

	Shafter - Direct 68
1	THE COURT: All right. Does anybody need a
2	break? Or shall we continue? And what about the
3	MR. STARK: I need to use the restroom.
4	MR. INZELBUCH: What happened the
5	MR. GROSSMAN: Yeah. Well, what's with the
6	
7	THE COURT: It's working she said.
8	MR. LANG: Oh, good.
9	THE WITNESS: I'll take a break. I could use
10	a break.
11	MR. INZELBUCH: Well, then take one. They'll
12	tell the Judge.
13	THE COURT: Let's take a break.
14	MR. INZELBUCH: That's what
15	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
16	MR. INZELBUCH: It's not an endurance test
17	here. (Laughter) If it is, you won maybe anyway.
18	MR. STARK: All right. How long is the
19	break, Your Honor?
20	THE COURT: We'll do We'll do a quick one.
21	Ten minutes.
22	MR. STARK: All right. Yeah.
23	MR. INZELBUCH: I have a job for you.
24	MR. LANG: No.
25	MR. INZELBUCH: Good job.

1 (BRIEF RECESS)

THE COURT: Okay. We're on the record.

3 We're on the record.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Now I know what that light means, we're on.

THE COURT: All right. We're on the record.

Cross examination.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. STARK:

- Q Thank you, Your Honor. And thank you, Mr. Shafter for being here. So, you arrived in the District in the Fall of 2014. Is that correct?

 A I think so. (Laughter) I'm losing track. But I know I've been there for a little three years. So.
- Q Okay. If I represented to you that you arrived in the Fall, --

16 A Yeah.

Q -- you wouldn't have any reason to disagree with me.

A No.

Q Okay.

A No.

Q And when you arrived there were concerns that you noticed with the finan -- You testified that the record keeping and the finances in the budget -- Or, excuse me. -- the finances in the District, there were

1 concerns that you noticed. Correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. You mentioned lack of purchase orders for out-of-district placements.

A Correct.

Q There were other -- That was not the only concern that you noticed. Correct?

A Correct.

Q Okay. What other concerns did you notice with the -- with the books in the District?

A Well, there -- the -- the monthly records were not closed in a timely manner. For example, the book said, you know, July 31st through October.

O Hm hmm.

A Now, granted, I can see closing July sometime in September, but by October/November, it should have been closed. And they were always three to four months behind.

Q Okay.

A In -- In closing the books for -- and issuing the Board's secretary's report and the treasurer's report.

That was a -- That was a big concern. So that when you would go back to look at history, when something was done, it would say, July, --

O Hm hmm.

A -- and it was really done in September and October.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Things like that were happening. And it wasn't just the -- the tuition purchase orders. It was -- It was other purchase orders. Another concern was that --And it happened -- it happened in other districts too. -- is that, when a line -- when a budgeted line item was at its limit, instead of charging it to the correct line item and doing a budget transfer, an item would be charged to where the money was. So -- So you couldn't rely on -- If you couldn't rely on it, you know. You'd see school supplies charged to contract services, visa versa. So -- So, that was something that would happen also. The other thing was that lines were over encumbered all over the place. There was -- They didn't place a limit in the system to now allow over encumbrances of line items. So you would look at a report and there would be all these negatives rather than doing the budget transfers after -- you know, before this was happening. And so that's something that was also -- The records couldn't be relied on.

THE COURT: Hmm.

BY MR. STARK:

Q That presents a problem for accurately

tracking expenditures. Correct?

A Correct.

Q Okay. Is there anyway to quantify that?

A Other than -- At this point, other than going back and reviewing the -- As far as the over expenditures, that was, I'd say, most of the lines had that problem.

Q Okay.

A Yeah. And -- And another issue was the position control roster.

A The school districts are required to have a roster showing the names of your staff, what they do, and what accounts they're charged to. So you had the -- You had payroll with one account number. HR with another account number. And nobody was trying to reconcile that either. It happens in districts. But -- But what's supposed to happen is you run -- run reports every so often, even if it's every two or three months, to track the differences and then determine which is correct and change it.

Q And is it your testimony that that was not happening in Lakewood?

A That was not happening at all.

Q Okay.

A It's happening now. But not then.

1	Q Okay. So that's a So those are those
2	are problems that you worked to address over the course
3	of your time in District. Correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Okay.
6	A Usually it gets to about, I'd say, 90/95 percent
7	accuracy, which is as around budget time, because
8	that's when it's most important, when you're because
9	that way you can fix the current year and you can have
10	the budget correct for the following year. And that's
11	about as good as it can get, I think, about 90/95
12	percent in any district.
13	Q Are you able to Are you able to estimate a
14	percentage of accuracy at the time that you arrived in
15	the District?
16	A Probably
17	MR. INZELBUCH: Without guessing.
18	THE WITNESS: Probably about 50.
19	BY MR. STARK:
19	-
	BY MR. STARK:
20	BY MR. STARK: Q Okay. And that has That, again, has an
20 21	BY MR. STARK: Q Okay. And that has That, again, has an affect on the District to properly track its finances.

ability of the District to plan for future

- 1 expenditures?
- 2 A I'd say it does.
- Q Okay. In the course of your time as the

 State Monitor in the District, have you reviewed budget

 -- have you reviewed budgets and the books for years

 prior to your arrival?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q No. Okay. Have you reviewed, in the course 9 of your time, the CAFRs for this District?
- 10 A Yes. Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. And what is -- Just for the record.
- 12 What is a CAFR?
- 13 A Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.
- Q Okay. These are done annually the?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Any findings that you noticed when you arrived in the -- in the CAFR?
- 18 A Yes.

- Q The most recent CAFR when you arrived?
- 20 A There were about 23, 24 findings there.
- Q Okay.
- 22 A Some of them dealing with payroll. Some of them
 23 dealing with -- A lot of them dealing with encumbrances
 24 versus accounts payable at the end of the year. There
 25 were some regarding over expenditures of line items.

	Shareer Cross
1	Q What's the significance of a finding in the
2	CAFR?
3	A It Ideally you want no findings. But But
4	the significance is, the number of findings usually
5	reflects the the abilities of the business office to
6	properly run the dis the finance of the district.
7	Q Would you consider in your In the course
8	of your experience, would you consider 23 or 24
9	findings in a in an annual CAFR to be a
10	significantly high number?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay. And would you Strike that. In the
13	time that you've been the State Monitor in Lakewood,
14	have there continued to be findings in the CAFRs in
15	subsequent years?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Has that number gone up or down?
18	A Down.
19	Q How many has it gone down?
20	A I believe this last year is about 8.
21	Q Okay. Would you consider that to be a
22	significant Let me use the right word a
23	significant improvement?

A It was -- It was definite -- a definite

25 improvement.

Q Okay. And those findings that you identified, the 23 or 24 findings that you -- that you discussed, were those related to the items that you talked about earlier, with purchase orders, line items being charged to incorrect lines, and line items being over encumbered?

A My recollection is yes.

Q Okay. And so have those, to the best of your knowledge, have those issues, you identified when coming in, those have been improved.

A Yes.

Q Okay. When you came in, was there anything significant that you noticed about the District's application for extraordinary aid for special ed students?

When I first got there the application, I believe, was -- Let me try and recall this. I remember it being done, but I remember errors that were in it. The students weren't being counted that should have been counted; especially the ones that were in-district. It was basically -- basically consisted of the out-of-district students. But the -- the students educated in district really wasn't -- There were very few of them submitted in the CAFR -- in the extraordinary aid application.

1	Q Okay. So in terms of in terms of money,
2	that mea would that mean that there were in-district
3	students for whom the District was not applying for
4	extraordinary aid?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay. So that would be additional revenue
7	that the District was leaving on the table. Is that
8	correct?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. Okay. Has that That problem of
11	leaving that money on the table, that has been
12	corrected?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. Okay. So the District provides trans
15	mandated transportation to both public and non-
16	public students. Correct?
17	A Correct.
18	Q Now, when you arrive
19	A Wait. Wait.
20	Q Lakewood was also providing
21	A Wait. Wait.
22	MR. INZELBUCH: Wait. Your Honor, I think he
23	wants to say something.
24	THE COURT: He wanted to say something.
25	THE WITNESS: As far as non-public students,

- the District now pays the LSTA who provides the transportation for non-public students.
- 3 BY MR. STARK:
- 4 A And -- Yeah. I appreciate that.
- 5 A Okay.
- Q And we're going -- we're going to get to them.
- 8 A Okay.
- 9 Q So when you arrived, Lakewood was also
 10 providing courtesy busing to both public and non-public
 11 students?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And that -- How did that courtesy
 busing -- Strike that. There was a time that
 Lakewood stopped providing courtesy busing. Is that
 right?
- 17 A At its own expense, yes.
 - Q Yes. Okay. And now, currently, Lakewood provides busing through -- Or, Lakewood busing is provided through the LSTA.
- 21 A Non-public busing.
- Q Non-public busing is provided through the LSTA. And the LSTA was created by the legislature.
- 24 Correct?

19

20

25 A Yes.

1 Yeah. Are you familiar with whether that Q 2 measure was supported by the -- by the community in Lakewood? 3 I believe it was. 4 5 You believe it was. Okay. Are you familiar 6 with whether that measure was supported by the 7 District? That I don't know. 8 9 Okay. And so, the District pays a certain amount into the LSTA per pupil. Is that right? 10 11 Α Correct. 12 Okay. And this current year, that -- that 13 dollar amount is A Thousand Dollars per student. 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. And you testified earlier about the --16 the various sources of that -- of that money. Prior to 17 the institution of the LSTA, the cost -- are you 18 familiar with what the cost per pupil for student 19 transportation was to the District? 20 Yes. Α 21 And that was about --22 (Laughs) I -- If I remember correctly -- Because Α 23 I remember being in Senator Singer's office one time. I think it was about -- about 650 over all. And one of 24

the -- one of the issues what was going -- you know,

the toss between the 650 and the 710, who was going to pay for that. Or 730 at that time. But then it went down to 710 as part of the legislation, so.

- Q And so the cost of transporting these non-public students has gone up under the LSTA. Correct?

 A Yes.
 - Q The per pupil cost.
- A Yes.

- Q And has that represented an increase in cost to the District?
 - A Yes. But it's gone up for two factors. One is -was the 650 to 710, which by now may have equalized
 itself. I don't know. But also it's gone up because
 of the increase in the number of students that the -mandated students for the LSTA.
 - Q Well the per pupil cost has gone up. That's -- That was my question.
 - A In one -- In one year it went up from 650 to 710.

 Had there been no LSTA, I don't know how -- how that

 650 would be today.
 - $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$ $\ensuremath{\mathtt{It}}$ would be impossible to speculate as to that.
- 23 A Right.
 - Q Yeah. Okay. So, Lakewood currently has no bank to cap. Correct?

1 A Correct.

- Q Okay. So it has no room to -- there's no built in space to increase the levy cap outside of a special question.
- A Correct.
 - Q Okay. So if taxes were to be raised, it would be by special question. Correct? Or if -Strike that. If taxes were to be raised above the two percent levy cap, that would be by special question.
- Correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And you testified earlier that the -that there was at least one special question that
 failed by a very high margin.
- 15 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And the Municipality has provided some additional revenue to the District. Correct?

 A Correct.
 - Q Out of -- They provided approximately A Million Dollars?
 - A Million Dollars for -- for non-public related services and athletics, and they also provide the cost for courtesy busing.
 - Q Okay. And so the Municipality's currently sitting on a roughly Million Dollar budget surplus

	Shafter - Cross 82
1	itself. Correct?
2	A That's what I've heard.
3	MR. INZELBUCH: Objection. Does he know that
4	
5	MS. HOFF: Wait, wait.
6	MR. INZELBUCH: or is he hearing things?
7	MR. STARK: Is he objecting to the answer of
8	the question or is he objecting to my question?
9	MR. INZELBUCH: No. The objection is, is he
10	going to guess?
11	THE COURT: No. I think he answered the
12	question. That's what he's heard.
13	MR. INZELBUCH: I've heard differently.
14	MR. STARK: Is Mr. Inzelbuch testifying?
15	MR. INZELBUCH: No, but that's that's
16	THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Inzelbuch
17	MR. INZELBUCH: You're developing a record
18	with things that he's not sure of.
19	THE COURT: But he's This is what he
20	This is what he
21	MR. LANG: I wish I could object to a lot of
22	the things that we're
23	THE COURT: This is what he does. It's

25 BY MR. STARK:

24

important for him to know this.

4	
1	Q Thank you, Your Honor. So you testified
2	earlier about categorical aid amounts. Correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And so the You testified that categorical
5	aid was, I think to use your term, was frozen.
6	Correct?
7	A Correct.
8	Q Now, the amount of categorical aid is a
9	legislative decision. Correct?
LO	A Correct.
L1	Q It's set by the appropriations act annually.
L2	Is that right?
L3	A Correct.
L 4	Q Okay. Equalization aid amounts that the
L5	District that the District receives, that is also a
L 6	legislative decision. Correct?
L7	A Yes. Correct.
L 8	Q And it's set by the appropriations act
L 9	annually. Right?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. Now, the District has a two percent
22	levy cap. That's a legislative decision as well.
23	Correct?
24	A Correct.

Q And the LSTA, again, is a creation of the

- 1 legislature as well. Correct?
- 2 A Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. STARK: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I think that is all the questions that we have for this witness.

MR. LANG: Can I have Redirect?

7 THE COURT: Yes.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LANG:

- Q Maybe I'll go backwards. Have you had any talk with the Municipality over contributing money towards the District for the next school year?

 A No.
- Q Okay. Have you seen any -- Okay. Have you had any -- Are you familiar with any letters issued by the Township Manager concerning tapping into the Township money?
- A No.
 - Q Okay. All right. All right. I'm going backwards actually. The -- Are all the kids that -- that are drawing the Thousand Dollars from the LSTA, are they all mandated by the legislature?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Are you familiar with aid in lieu?

 A Yes.
- Q Is aid in lieu -- Is the One Thousand -- What

-- Where does the One Thousand Dollar -- What does the One Thousand Dollar represent?

A For non-public students, if after bidding a route, and the bid -- the lowest responsible bid comes in for more than One Thousand Dollars a student, then the bid is rejected and the parents of the students are paid One Thousand Dollars for each student, since they're not being transported. And that's received instead of transporting students.

Q Is the One Thousand Dollar the State rate for aid in lieu?

A Yes.

Q What is aid in lieu?

A It's funds that are paid on behalf of students to the parents if -- for non-public students, if the school district does not transport those students on buses.

Q So the -- Is this a level One Thousand Dollars State wide?

A Yes.

Q Now, where does -- where does the One
Thousand Dollars come from that's paid out for each
student for aid, which is the aid in lieu level?

A Sources of revenue for that?

25 O Yes.

- Shafter Redirect 1 There's something called non-public transportation Α aid, which is from 710 to 884. And then from 884 to 2 One Thousand Dollars is additional aid for non-public 3 4 students. Again, it's from the State. 5 So from the first 710 is from who? 6 Α Local. Local. Coming out -- Coming out the 7
 - operating budget?
 - Α Yes.

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

- Okay. Now, is there -- So, are -- is there any public courtesy busing public school kids? Courtesy busing of public school kids?
- 13 Α Yes.
- 14 Who provides that?
- 15 The District provides it and the Township pays for 16 it.
- 17 What is the policy; who gets it?
 - Anybody who lives from one half mile to two miles for grades K through 8. And for -- one half mile to two and a half miles for grades 9 through 12.
 - So that's -- it's a policy that's based on distance?
- 23 Α Yes.
- 24 So would building a bridge over Route 9 make 25 a difference in who gets bussed?

- 87 Shafter - Redirect Under the current policy; no. 1 Α 2 Okay. Do you think that the Township --Could the Township -- We're not talking about the 3 District. Do you think the Township could eliminate 4 5 some of the expense in getting these kids safely to 6 school? 7 MR. STARK: I -- Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure there's a foundation laid --8 9 MR. LANG: Okay. 10 MR. STARK: -- for whether or not Mr. Shafter 11 can testify as to what the Municipality is capable of. 12 BY MR. LANG: 13 Is there anything that could be done, by 14 whoever does it, --15 THE COURT: That he knows of. 16 BY MR. LANG: 17 -- that you know of, that -- that could 18 reduce -- What is the cost of the courtesy busing to 19 the Township? 20 About One and a Half Million Dollars. Α 21 Q Does this --22 It was Two Million Dollars last year. Right now,
 - we're at 1.3. It may go up to about 1.5. But it's -it's in that area, 1-3, 1-5.

24

25

If that was eliminated, is the Township under

any obligation to hand over that money to the School
District?

- A If courtesy busing was eliminated?
 - O Yeah.
- A No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Okay. Is there any way -- Is there anything that you're familiar with that the Town could do to reduce the cost of getting those kids to school? What towns do -- And I'm not -- I'm saying in general, not specifically Lakewood is -- Well, there's a number of reasons for courtesy busing. One is that the Township believes that it's just -- it's just too much for the -- for the child to walk to school. They believe that mandated busing should be maybe just one -- you know, over one mile. Because they just believe it's too far for a child to walk. Another reason for courtesy or non-mandated busing is because of hazardous routes. And what -- what Townships can do to -- They could add sidewalks. They can have more crossing quards. And things like that. And would reduce the number of hazardous routes. And that would -- that would absolve them from being responsible for nonmandated busing for that portion of it.
- Q Has a study been done on that in Lakewood that you're familiar with?

A I'm familiar that in the -- during the summer of

-- Let's see, 17 -- The summer of 16. Lakewood had a

consultant who met with officials of the Township to go

-- to go over a plan on how the Township could -- could

increa -- the priority of the Township could do to

increase sidewalks so that -- that would have the most

effect to reduce the number of hazardous routes. I

don't know whether -- whether it was ever implemented

or not. But I know there was a lot of discussion

between the District consultant and Township officials.

- Q Would that have saved money?

 A Excuse me.
- Q Would that have saved money for the Township?

 A I don't know whether it would save money. I know you got the -- you got the expense of -- The annual expense versus the capital expense and then -- and maintaining that capital expense. So I don't know.
- Q Okay. Now, Mr. Stark asked you a lot about

 -- about encumbrance and -- and a lot of -- a lot of

 things in the budget that you corrected or that you -
 What -- Did these things involve mostly Federal funds?

 Or were -- were they the -- the District's expenses for

 the public school kids?
- A Both.

Q Both? Okay. When -- When were these all

1 corrected?

- A Corrections started during the -- Let's see, I got there in the fa -- They started in 15/16. But they're -- They were -- I would say they were 90 percent, 95 percent correct. There's always something that's going to slip through for 16/17.
- Q Okay. Now 15/16, before the corrections were made, did it have an impact -- impact on the budget that year?
- A Well, it doesn't affect the overall expenditures itself. But it -- So it's -- That's my answer. It does not affect overall expenditures.
- Q So over the time you were there -- Mr. Stark said from Fall, 2014. -- The expenditures themselves, are -- are they legitimate expenditures? Were they impacted by any of this --
- A I haven't -- I have not discovered any expenditures that were not legitimate.
- Q Okay. Now, how many -- All right. You know what, I think I'm finished. Extra ordinary aid. When -- When Mr. Stark asked you about extra ordinary aid, I believe you -- there could have been -- You answered that the District could have gotten extra money. How much extra money?
- A I -- I don't know how much was attributed to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 situation in Lakewood?
- 2 A Increases of revenue.
- 3 Q And how would that be accomplished?
- A There's two ways of accomplishing it. Either through increased State aid or increased in local
- 6 taxes.
- 7 Q Is there room to increase the taxes?
- 8 A When you say the Township's ability to pay; I
- 9 don't know.
- 10 Q And you think the Township may have a surplus?
- 12 A Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q And could they use that surplus to the school system?
 - A They could, but they're not required to. And the surplus that I'm referring to -- The number that I'm referring is something that I -- that was brought up in a meeting between Township, Department of Education, and myself. Officials, we had a meeting. I guess it was -- Not for this budget. It -- It was a meeting for the 16/17 budget. And it was brought up about the -- the surplus at that time.
 - Q And how would you see State aid being increased?
- 25 A Excuse me.

How would you see State aid being increased? 1 Q. 2 Number one is full funding. That applies to all districts. 3 All districts. And number two, that -- And this 4 5 would have to apply to all districts also. If somehow, 6 come up with a formula that would -- Non-public school students receive services. Some of them are funded by 7 Federal, some of them are funded by State, and some of 8 them are funded locally. There has to be a formula. I 9 10 would say a formula so that some portion of those 11 students could be counted as a percentage, in order to 12 -- in planning the adequacy budget. And the local fair 13 share would be deducted from that. And that would be an -- That would be what the State aid would be. 14 15 Okay. So, at the present time do you think 16 Lakewood is providing a thorough and efficient education to its students? 17 MR. STARK: Objection, Your Honor. 18 19 MR. LANG: What? 20 MR. STARK: I don't think there's been a 21 foundation that Mr. Shafter is capable --22 THE COURT: I just want to -- I want his -- I 23 want his --24 MR. STARK: -- of assessing the educational 25 value of --

1 MR. INZELBUCH: Don't answer.

THE COURT: I want his opinion.

MR. STARK: I just -- I wanted to get my

objection on the record. Thank you.

THE COURT: I understand.

THE WITNESS: I can't answer that.

THE COURT: Okay. See.

MR. INZELBUCH: So easy.

BY THE COURT:

Q If you had more money, where would you put it in your budget?

A Well, the first 12 and a Half Million Dollars would be used to cover this year's budget. (Laughs)

Q To pay back the State basically.

A Well not -- No, to fund -- just to fund this year's -- the budget as -- as it rolls forward. The additional funds would be used, you know, as a -- as a start with the transportation, health insurance. What were the other lines I talked about? The charter school tuition and that -- that one other line. And overall, I think -- I think we need to -- We, the District. I think the District needs to build new facilities. Because it's not only -- Because there's just not enough facilities for the -- Especially in the Middle School. There's just -- There's too many

	Shafter - By the Court 95
1	students in that school. And And facilities, so
2	that so that quality special education programs
3	could be offered in the District. And in the long
4	term, I think that would save money. It would be a big
5	
5	a big expenditure in the beginning but over the long
6	term it would save money. And then And then the
7	I think the You know, you would want to reduce class
8	size. Which would be over and above what we're what
9	we're spending now. I'm sure that there are foreign
10	languages that used to be offered that are no longer
11	offered for budgetary reasons. That's That's a
12	start.
13	Q How long do you expect to be in Lakewood?
14	A I plan to retire in two years. (Laughs) So.
15	THE COURT: All right. Any questions based
16	on my questions.

MR. STARK: Very briefly, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Wait. Mr. Lang wants to go

first.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STARK: Sorry. I -- I thought you waived.

MR. LANG: One question. Just one question.

MR. INZELBUCH: Beautiful. Beautiful.

MR. STARK: Objection. There is --

THE COURT: Mr. Inzelbuch, you didn't have

	Shafter - Redirect 96
1	to.
2	MR. INZELBUCH: I think it's beautiful that
3	you're getting the truth finally.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Inzelbuch, just
5	MR. STARK: It is
6	THE COURT: Mr. Inzelbuch, please. No No
7	comments.
8	MR. LANG: Right. That's what I was going to
9	say.
10	THE COURT: What?
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LANG:
12	Q Judge Scarola asked about the Township's
13	surplus. That's a one lump sum or is that an extra
14	What does it What does it mean to have the Township
15	have an
16	MR. INZELBUCH: Arthur. Here's the actual
17	surplus by the Township.
18	MR. LANG: Okay.
19	MR. INZELBUCH: Ask him if he knows it.
20	MR. LANG: Okay. But I
21	THE COURT: No, no, no.
22	MR. STARK: Objection.

24 Inzelbuch.

23

25

MR. INZELBUCH: These numbers that this

THE COURT: No, no. No, Mr. -- Mr.

	Shafter - Redirect 97
1	record is getting are not accurate.
2	MR. LANG: Could I ask him Okay. But
3	But could I just ask a question?
4	THE COURT: If the
5	MR. LANG: Why can't I ask the question?
6	THE COURT: If the Township had a surplus,
7	what would That's it.
8	MR. GROSSMAN: Your Honor, actually, the
9	issue If I may. And I'm sorry. Just
10	MR. LANG: I just want to ask what a surplus
11	is.
12	MR. GROSSMAN: One question. Your Honor, per
13	30 Million. And I just want to clear
14	THE COURT: That's just
15	MR. GROSSMAN: be clear, that that was as
16	of 2016/2017, rather than what exists
17	THE COURT: I understand.
18	MR. GROSSMAN: Or may or may not exist today.
19	THE COURT: I'm not accepting the 30 Million
20	as any accurate number that that may be a surplus.
21	If they had
22	MR. GROSSMAN: But it was as of
23	MR. LANG: Okay.
24	MR. GROSSMAN: But it was as of the 2016/2017
25	budget.

BY MR. LANG:

Q Could I just ask a question? This is a
surplus What does it mean to have a surplus? Does
it mean the Township is taxing and raising 30 Million
Dollars extra per year? Or, it's just a one it's
just money there that's in the bank just now?
A What happens is, a tax rate is set. And the
school district has their set And the township turns
a lump sum over to a school district. As As
buildings come on line, after a budget is set, the
township collects taxes on those buildings. They cut
the full tax rate, not just the township portion, but
the school district portion also, as buildings are
constructed. In Pennsylvania, they're called
"interims." I don't know what they call them in New
Jersey. But they collect taxes taxes on these as
they come on line. So that's what causes a surplus to
build up.

Now, in all fairness, in townships that are losing money and have a high delinquency level, they have to fund school districts out of -- out of the local -- out of the township money, because school districts are not -- because they have to turn the lump sum over. So, it's -- it's a result of the State law. Instead of -- Instead of a school district having its own tax rate,

Thank you. Mr. Shafter, you testified

25

1 Millio
2 towns
3 educa
4 save 5
Millio

Million Dollars for a hundred students, that since the township's not educating -- the local district's not educating the students anymore, they could afford to save the Two Million Dollars. I'm sorry. The Ten Million -- The One Million Dollars for -- I forget the number I said already.

Q Two.

A But they can -- they can save the same amount of money. But the problem is, if -- if you -- The money goes out. But you can't just automatically eliminate it. Because you still have the fixed cost that's spread over less students. The children -- The hundred students that -- or 120 students, it's not like they come out automatically in a group and you can just reduce staff by three or four teachers. What it is, those students are spread out all over the school district, so you can't reduce staff. About the only thing it reduces is textbooks and supplies and things like that. So to say that a charter school saves the public school district dollar for dollar, it -- this doesn't happen.

- Q Okay. How many charter schools are there in Lakewood, if you know?
- A There's only -- There's one.
- Q Just one.

	Chaftan Doubha Canat
1	Shafter - By the Court 102
1	A It just opened up. They have about a hundred and
2	fifty students.
3	Q So that's also had an impact on the budget.
4	A On the current year budget. Yes.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Any other questions?
6	MR. STARK: No questions, Your Honor. Thank
7	you.
8	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
9	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
10	MR. LANG: Thank you.
11	MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: You're free to go.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14	THE COURT: Is there anything else you'd like
15	to say?
16	THE WITNESS: Off the record but not on the
17	record. (Laughter)
18	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. (Laughs)
19	Thank you.
20	MR. INZELBUCH: (Laughing) Well, David,
21	thank you so much.
22	THE COURT: All right.
23	MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you.
24	MR. INZELBUCH: Good job.
25	MR. LANG: Your Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: What? 2 MR. LANG: We still have --3 THE COURT: Mr. Shafter's leaving. So thank 4 you. 5 MR. INZELBUCH: Yeah. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 6 MR. LANG: We still have Michael Azzara. 7 8 THE COURT: Yes we do. So the question is, do we break now or -- And then come back and start him. 9 10 MR. LANG: I would like --11 MR. STARK: Your Honor, I understand that Mr. 12 -- is coming at 1 o'clock. 13 MR. LANG: Yeah, I would like to start. 14 THE COURT: Oh, he is. 15 MR. LANG: Because Mr. Shafter basically said 16 a lot of things. 17 MR. INZELBUCH: Yeah. And he can only come 18 today. But Mr. Azzara is next. And your computers are 19 working. 20 MR. LANG: Yeah. 21 THE COURT: All right. So I guess we could 22 start then. Okay. Who's coming at one? 23 MR. STARK: Do we -- My -- My question --24 MR. INZELBUCH: I told him to come back a

little later. I pushed him back a little bit.

	<u> </u>
1	THE COURT: All right. We are a break,
2	you know.
3	MR. INZELBUCH: Yeah, of course.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. STARK: Not necessarily for the record.
6	But, I apologize. I'm going to step to the restroom
7	while the conversation
8	MR. INZELBUCH: Thank you for sharing that.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MR. LANG: Oh, I'm going to I told Mike I
11	was going to call him
12	MS. HOFF: I think the Judge
13	MR. LANG: and give him a heads up.
14	THE COURT: We're going to go off the record.
15	MR. LANG: Okay.
16	(BRIEF RECESS)
17	THE COURT: Okay. We're back.
18	MS. HOFF: Testing. Testing. Mike, can you
19	talk please?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm here.
21	MS. HOFF: Okay. Can you say test for me?
22	THE WITNESS: Test.
23	(OFF THE RECORD)
24	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, we're back
25	on the record. With our witness who's appearing from

1 his home in Pennsylvania. Is that right? 2 THE WITNESS: That's correct. THE COURT: Okay. By Skype. 3 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 THE COURT: It's a first. Okay. So, this is 6 your witness Mr. Lang, so why don't you call him. MR. LANG: I'd like to call Mike Azzara to 7 the stand for as a witness. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Azzara, can you 10 raise your right hand please. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. 13 M I C H A E L A Z Z A R A, PETITIONER'S WITNESS SWORN. 14 15 THE WITNESS: I do. 16 THE COURT: And state your name, please. 17 THE WITNESS: Michael Azzara. 18 THE COURT: And spell your last name. 19 THE WITNESS: A-Z-Z-A-R-A. 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All 21 right, just keep your voice up, Mr. Azzara -- Mr. 22 Azzara, because we are trying to record everything that 23 you say on our microphone. 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. 25 THE COURT: And so, we need to hear you.

- 1 Okay. So, Mr. Lang.
- 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LANG:
- 3 Q Mr. Azzara, what is your job in Lakewood?
- 4 A I'm the State Monitor.
- 5 Q What is a State Monitor?
- A I oversee the District's finances and business operations.
- 8 Q How long have you been the State Monitor?
 - A It will be four years in April.
 - Q How did you come to be the State Monitor?
- 11 A I was appointed by the Commissioner.
- Q Who do you report to?
- 13 A I report to -- Well, I report through Glenn Forney
 14 to the Commissioner.
- Q What is your professional experience?
- 16 A I've got 40 years experience in education at the
- 17 local and state levels. I was Chief Auditor for the
- Department. I was the Director of Fiscal Policy. And
- 19 I was an Assistant Commissioner for Finance. After
- 20 that I was the Chief of Fiscal Efficiency of State
- Operated School Districts. I was in Patterson for
- 22 three years as an Assistant Superintendent. I was in
- Camden for five years. And I've been now at Lakewood
- for four.

10

25 Q When you were in Patterson were you -- as the

	AZZara - Direct
1	Assistant Superintendent, were you working for the
2	State?
3	A Oh, I was It was a State Operated School
4	District.
5	Q In Camden.
6	A I was working for the School District.
7	Q And what about Camden?
8	A I was the State Monitor.
9	Q Okay. So all this, your whole professional
10	career, that you said 40 years, you were working for
11	the Department of Education?
12	A Essentially, yes.
13	Q Okay. What is your education?
14	A I have a Bachelors in Business Administration and
15	I have a Masters in Education Education
16	Administration.
17	Q Okay. Does Lakewood have a revenue problem?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Does it have a spending problem?
20	A No.
21	MR. INZELBUCH: What do you mean?
22	BY MR. LANG:
23	Q Could you explain what you mean?
24	THE COURT: Mr. Inzelbuch, you can't
25	THE WITNESS: Well, I've been there for four

1	years. So, we've done everything we can to try to
2	balance the budget. And we're pretty much down to what
3	we, you know, just what we need to meet T and E and get
4	the Superintendent and the County Superintendent to
5	sign off on the budget and certify that it's adequate.
6	BY MR. LANG:
7	Q Does Lakewood have a bare-bones budget?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Explain that, please?
10	A Well
11	MR. STARK: Objection. The term was
12	suggested by Counsel.
13	MR. LANG: Oh, sorry.
14	MR. STARK: So, I don't know that the witness
15	The witness agreed with it but I'm not sure the
16	witness can explain Counselor's
17	MR. LANG: Let me rephrase the question.
18	THE COURT: Let's Let's see if he
19	understands what it is.
20	BY MR. LANG:
21	Q Okay. Go ahead.
22	A We Well, we believe that we've made every
23	every reduction that's possible in order to maintain a
24	T and E education. In other words, we can't cut
25	anything else.

- Q Can you cut anything from transportation?

 A Well, no. The -- The State set up a non-public consortium, and by law, we have to pay them a Thousand Dollars for every mandated pupil. So we can't do that. So that's a State mandate. And we have mandated transportation that we brought in-house, and we're going to save some money. And we're operating as efficiently as we can. I mean, we could always look for more economies, but at this point I -- I couldn't really tell you where we'd find them. And courtesy busing for public school students is paid for by the Township.
- Q And what about special education expenses?

 Is -- Could that -- Can they be cut?
- A No. I mean, they're all -- they're all pretty much governed by law and the State Department of Education rules and regulations.
- Q So if you had to make cuts, where -- where can they be made?
- A I -- You know, I don't feel we can.
 - Q Okay. Was there a referendum -- Well, was -- Did you -- As State Monitor, do you order the -- during your tenure of State -- When did you begin in Lakewood? When was the --
- A The May of 2014.

Q So since May of 2014, at any time, did you order the end of courtesy busing?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We -- Well, we put it out to referendum. Because it was -- When the bids came in we were -- we were about Eight Million Dollars over the estimate. So, we were going to cut courtesy in October, but we decided, and the Department decided, myself and the Commissioner, that the Township should have a referendum. Unfortunately, because of the amount of time you need to advertise for an referendum, and there's only four dates in a year that you could have a special election, we -- it took -- It wasn't until the end of January before we were able to go out to referendum. And it was about the end of February before the results were finalized. And it was defeated 98 percent to 2 percent, maybe even worse than that. But so then, we let the transportation finish with the year, and --

Q So --

A -- and let it go to deficit. And then the following year we were -- we were not going to provide courtesy busing. We just said, the monitors and the Department, we said it's off the table. We were not even going to even entertain it this year. The year before we had gone through long and drawn out

negotiations with non-public schools to put in a tiering system, a universal tiering system for all non-public schools that our consultant thought we could afford, and we had an estimate. But when the bids came in, they were like Eight Million over. A number of the bus companies raised their rates by as much as 30, 35 percent. So there was just no way we were ever going to accommodate that. And we said no, we're not even going to entertain it for the following year. And that's when the legislature created the consortium, the legislation to create a consortium.

Q So -- So, from what I understand you're saying was that courtesy busing was going to be cut and you -- and in order to restore it, that was the question on the referendum? What was the question on the referendum?

A Whether the voters wanted to pay an additional Eight Million Dollars in taxes to preserve courtesy busing. I think it was 6.2. We were asking them to approve 6.2.

Q And that was rejected by 98 percent. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. I can't ask you to speculate, but -- but what does that tell you about -- Based on your

- 1 knowledge of being State Monitor and -- Was that the
 2 only referendum that's ever put up?
 - A We had -- We had one referendum for a building project, roofing and HVAC conditioning, and that -- that managed to pass. It was a big local effort, we got the seniors on board. Well, I didn't, but the Superintendent. And she went around and she built support. The building was -- The buildings really were in bad shape. And the Township supported that. But they wouldn't -- they wouldn't support the courtesy busing.
 - Q And how many people voted in that -- that referendum that you're talking about now?
 - A I can't -- I couldn't recollect.
- Q Do you think if we put the --
- MR. INZELBUCH: Sorry. -- I told to leave the room.
- 18 MR. LANG: Oh.
- MR. INZELBUCH: He was here. (Courtroom door closes)
- BY MR. LANG:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 22 Q Is there a deficit this year?
- 23 A In the operating budget? No.
- Q Yes.
- 25 A But there's an overall fund deficit.

1	Q If that were to be put to the voters, based
2	on your past experience putting referendums up Could
3	you comment on that? Would that be a solution?
4	A No, it would not. We We've proposed separate
5	questions to the Board. They've rejected them. In
6	fact, since I've been there, they've rejected every
7	budget. And the State Monitors, myself and David
8	Shafter, had to approve it. But the Department and
9	local leaders and State leaders, and everybody was
LO	saying you know, everybody was saying that any
L1	separate questions are not going to be passed, so don't
L2	even bother holding the referendum and spending the
L3	money.
L 4	Q Okay. Could Could money be reduced to
L5	eliminate metal detectors and security guards in the
L 6	schools?
L7	A Not under Not under today's environment.
L 8	Q Okay. All right. Now you said you were in
L 9	in Camden, Newark
20	A Patterson.
21	Q Patterson.
22	THE COURT: I don't think he said he was in
23	Newark. Did he?
24	MR. LANG: I'm sorry. Did you say Newark?

THE WITNESS: As Chief of Fiscal Efficiency

for State Operating Districts I was in Newark as part 1 2 I was in all three State operated systems; Jersey City, Newark and Patterson. And then, after that term, I 3 went to Patterson alone as an assistant superintendent. 4 5 That was my only district I was working at. 6 BY MR. LANG: You didn't do that as working for the State? 7 It's a State-Operated district, but it's 8 9 considered -- It's not considered operated by -- The 10 Commissioner doesn't operate it, put it that way. 11 Q Okay. 12 The Superintendent does. 13 So does Lakewood have anything in common, in 14 terms of poverty or any -- any characteristics in 15 common with those three districts? 16 They're all -- They're all by regulation Α 17 considered at-risk districts. 18 Including Lakewood. 19 Including Lakewood. Yes. In fact, Lakewood has 20 at least three priority schools and a focus school, 21 which means they're failing schools. And they have the 22 State Department of Education's Regional Achievement 23 Center is assigned to work with those schools because

Q Are there any other schools in Ocean County

24

25

of the failing.

Azzara - Direct 115 1 that are priority or focus schools? Not that I can recall. 2 The majority of the priority and four focus 3 schools, how would you characterize those districts? 4 5 Α Inner city poverty districts. Asbury Park is one. Neptune, Kingsburg, Newark, Jersey City, Camden. 6 They're all -- They were all in the Abbott Districts. 7 Q And Lakewood's considered --8 9 Α Not --10 Q -- grouped together with them. 11 Α Lakewood is not an Abbott District. 12 Lakewood's not an Abbott. But it has the 13 same characteristics as -- as those districts. Does 14 it? 15 I -- I would say so. Yeah. 16 MR. STARK: Objection. 17 THE COURT: Well, does it? 18 MR. LANG: Does it? 19 THE COURT: Does it share some of --20 THE WITNESS: Yes. In terms of the number of 21 kids that are qualified for free and reduced lunch. 22 far as the number of children that the regulation 23

far as the number of children that the regulation considers to be in poverty to make it an at-risk district. It's the same criteria for everybody now.

BY MR. LANG:

24

	AZZala - Dilect
1	Q Are you familiar with any districts on the
2	that have priority or focus schools I'm sure there's
3	some. But are there a lot that are not out-of-
4	districts?
5	A Yeah, there's probably some. I don't know for
6	sure. I don't know how many. But I know that there
7	would be others.
8	Q Now, you've been working When did you
9	start working for the Department of Education?
10	A 1978.
11	Q All right. So you're very, very familiar
12	with the what's happened since school funding since
13	Are you familiar with what's happening in school
14	funding since 1978?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Any Do you have any idea why Lakewood
17	never became an Abbott District?
18	A Well, the court had a very narrow came up with
19	a very narrow definition. And it was District Factor
20	Group A and B Districts. And then they put in there
21	like a circuit breaker, if your taxes were so much per
22	pupil. And that knocked out Atlantic City at the time
23	because of the casinos. And Lakewood was not one of
24	the A or B Districts at that time.

25

Why -- Why did it knock out Lakewood? Did it

knock out Lakewood?

A I'm not sure why. I don't know if Lakewood had a DFG designation or what it was. But it wasn't in the two bottom tiers. The top two are the I and J's. They call them the wealthy districts. The court used to ask for parody in spending with the wealthy districts. And it used to be the I and J districts that would be the standard, their per pupil expen — their average per pupil expenditure, with what we were supposed to bring the Abbott Districts that were A and B, which were A and B district factor groups.

We were supposed to bring them up to that. That was the Abbott Decision. Until we could actually define what thorough and efficient was. And then even after that it was determined that there just wasn't enough money to meet the court curriculum content standards. And back in 1998 we had added what we called -- Plus. Where we had -- We went to court, a remand -- It was remanded through the court. It was a court master -- , and we came in with a -- , to meet the court curriculum content standard. And it basically came out to almost the same amount as what --

Q Now, and you've talked about the DFG's, district group for improved factors. Does Lakewood have a GFG -- DFG?

A I don't know.

Q Okay. You talked about also, one of the criterions that the court used was measuring wealth.

Was it -- And I believe you said that it was, they took
wealth and divided it -- property value and divided it
by enrollment. Is that correct?

A That was one of the factors to determine DFG.

It's not a factor in the -- formula.

Q Correct. It was in determining DFG and who gets it -- and would that be used in determining in who got to be an Abbott District?

MR. STARK: Objection, Your Honor. This is a matter of decisional law, that I don't know that Mr. Azzara needs, as a fact witness, to testify as to what the court found. The court indicated what it found and it published an opinion about that.

THE COURT: Yeah, I --

MR. LANG: Okay. Well let me -- let me just -- Okay. We could -- Fine. The -- The use of dividing the wealth by the -- the number enrollment, how does that affect a district like Lakewood with a lot of non-public students?

THE WITNESS: What was the question again? Can you repeat that?

25 BY MR. LANG:

1	Q The methodology of dividing the wealth,
2	meaning the property value by enrollment, how would
3	that affect a district like Lakewood?
4	A It wouldn't anymore. It's not in the funding
5	formula. And the Abbott District designation was
6	actually abandon in the School Funding Reform Act. So,
7	I don't even think the DFGs would matter. And that was
8	the only That was one of the factors There were
9	like seven factors, you know, parental, education
10	level. Things like that. That They did it every
11	ten years based on the census, the DFGs. And they're
12	not really used anymore for funding purposes.
13	Q Well, let me just There is a reason why
14	I'm asking. It has to do with some some of the
15	matters I discussed in the petition. But But going
16	back to when it did make a difference. This idea of
17	determining a district's wealth by dividing it by
18	enrollment, was Based on your experience with school
19	funding, how does that affect a district like Lakewood
20	with
21	MR. STARK: Objection. Relevance.
22	MR. LANG: Relevance? The relevance is
23	because

MR. LANG: Two reasons.

	Azzara - Direct 120
1	THE COURT: It's sustained.
2	MR. INZELBUCH: Move him on to Lakewood.
3	THE COURT: It's not relevant.
4	MR. GROSSMAN: Get on to Lakewood.
5	THE COURT: He's not testifying as an expert
6	in the history of Abbott. He's testifying as a State
7	Monitor for the Lakewood School District. He's not
8	qualified as an expert in this area.
9	MR. LANG: He's an expert in He's been
10	THE COURT: He's here as a fact witness.
11	MR. INZELBUCH: Listen to 1-2-3-4-5
12	Like five
13	MR. LANG: Okay.
14	MR. INZELBUCH: That's okay. That's all
15	right
16	BY MR. LANG:
17	Q All right. All right. The What's going
18	on in Lakewood? What is the problem in Lakewood?
19	MR. STARK: Objection. That's a very, very
20	broad question.
21	THE COURT: Yeah, it is.
22	THE WITNESS: That's a very
23	MR. LANG: Okay. So
24	THE COURT: Wait. What's the problem with
25	the budget? How's that?

annual has been frozen for almost eight years. And the population in the town, the school age population, particularly the non-public schools, they put a lot of stress on the District's budget. The special education, in particular, the District is responsible for providing spending special education services for every school age child -- I believe it -- I think it's up to 21. -- every school age child in Lakewood regardless of whether they would have went into a non-public school or the public school.

responsible for, for special education, is at 35-36,000 children. Not just the 6,000 in the School District.

Now the funding formula uses a census method. And basically the State average classification rate, which is around 15 percent, times the school district enrollment. So they only get funded for like 15 percent of 6,000 students. When actually the universe that makes up what they're responsible to provide those services for, includes the non-public -- I would say it includes the non-public enrollment. Because we have many orthodox students who are in private schools for the handi -- for the disabled. And they're considered public school students because they're special ed. But

	Azzara - Direct 122
1	if they hadn't been classified, they would have went to
2	the Yeshiva.
3	BY MR. LANG:
4	Q If they hadn't been classified they would
5	have what? I didn't hear that. I'm sorry.
6	A The orthodox students as a pretty much as a
7	rule, go to the yeshiva's. They don't come to the
8	public school system. So if any of the orthodox
9	children have learning disabilities or need special
10	education, the District is responsible for providing
11	it.
12	Q Okay. Is So, how does this affect the
13	budgetary process?
1 Д	A Well the funding They're only getting funded

A Well, the funding. They're only getting funded for about half the special ed students or half the classified students that the District actually pays for.

- Q And -- And are you familiar with the total special education expense for the District?
- A It's close to 30 Million.

Q That's -- Is that the tuition expense?

A Tuition -- You know, I don't know the exact figure of both in-house and out -- and out-of-district placements. The figure that pops in my mind is around 30 Million. It might be more if you factor in in-house

special education of students. But I couldn't give you the exact figure right now.

Q How many -- Do you know how many kids are going to schools for the handicapped?

A I'd like to say yes. But there was -- There is some -- issues with the Department, where they -- they count classified students. It's -- It's -- I couldn't give you the exact number, but it's over 200.

Q Okay. And how -- how does the having a large non-public -- I'm going to skip that question because we've heard enough about that already. Is there -- I was going to ask about transportation but I'm not. Is there -- Is there any other expenses associated with having 30,000 or 31,000 non-public kids besides transportation and special education?

A It puts a strain on the taxpayer. And the Township and the taxpayers have to support, you know, police, firefighters, road work, trash removal. So in that regard, a normal district wouldn't have that kind of a strain -- Not, you know, a public school district. Another -- Another municipality wouldn't have that kind of strain on the tax base that Lakewood has.

Q Are you familiar with the term, municipal overburden?

A Yes, I am.

) 1	What	is t	that?

1.3

- A Well, again, I was -- I'm a fact witness. I think it might relate to what the Judge decided earlier.

 It's a term that was used in a very early Abbot

 Decision. That the court ordered the State to take into consideration municipal -- They called it municipal overburden. The fact that the high poverty districts, the out-of-districts, put in the crime rate, everything like that. That they had a lot of expenses that your regular districts didn't have, and put a -- put a strain on the tax base. And they directed the state to recognize that when they developed a funding formula.
- Q So in your experience dealing with -- Have you any experience in districts that had municipal overburden?
- A Well, the Abbott Districts I worked in. Yes.
 - Q Does Lakewood have a municipal overburden?
- A If you go by the --
 - MR. STARK: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: -- the broad definition of the court --
 - MR. STARK: Objection to the question. Is there a standard for municipal overburden?
- MR. LANG: He just said.

THE COURT: Why don't we ask him if there is? 1 2 MR. STARK: There isn't just -- Or is it just the witness's opinion. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. Why don't we ask him? 4 5 BY MR. LANG: What -- So, what -- what is -- What are the 6 7 things that make up municipal overburden? There was -- All the -- In the -- In the original 8 9 ruling that coined that phrase, they were talking about 10 things that inner cities or urban districts would have, 11 like additional police, additional firefighters, crime. 12 All the things that those cities had that non-urban 13 districts didn't have to deal with. And it would cost the -- it would cost the municipality money --14 15 additional money and put a strain on the taxpayer. 16 It's -- There's no standard in law. It's never been 17 legislated. 18 So --0 19 But it was in an early Abbott decision. 20 Would you consider the -- the burden of --21 the expense of sending 30,000 kids to non-public 22 schools also a strain on the tax base as municipal 23 overburden? 24 MR. STARK: Objection. That's not a 25

municipal expense.

1 MR. LANG: But it's an expense of the 2 taxpayer. The ability of the taxpayer to pay.

THE COURT: Two separate -- Two separate things, I think.

BY MR. LANG:

Q Okay. So, would -- would that also -- Would the expense of paying for 30,000 non-public kids cause a strain on the tax base?

A Well, like I said, it would cause the families -The fact, you know, that there's so many families in
Lakewood that don't use the public school system. And
it's like six times the number of kids in the public -I mean, as far as children, I'm not saying families.
But it's -- The student ratio is about six times higher
than the public school. And just that many -- that
kind of a population would create additional municipal
expenses that wouldn't be recognized in the -- because
they're only looking at the 6,000 students when they do
the adequacy part.

Now you have to understand, the adequacy budget determines the amount of equalization. So, the student enrollment drives the adequacy budget. And the local share has nothing to do with enrollment. But the local share is pretty static. Okay. It's going to be the same no matter what. So the number of students that

1	drive the adequacy budget drive it higher if there were
2	more students in the public school system. And
3	therefore, everything additional, okay, those those
4	students with the the waning factors that would
5	create the adequacy budget, any increase in the
6	adequacy budget would be totally funded by State aid.
7	Q So if Lakewood's Would it make a
8	difference if Lakewood, in the local fair share, if
9	Lakewood's adequacy budget was 110 Million or 210
10	Million? Would the local fair share change?
11	A No.
12	Q Okay. The Are you familiar with what the
13	local fair share is currently in Lakewood?
14	A About 102 Million Dollars.
15	Q Is there Based on what you just talked
16	about before, about municipal overburden, or what your
17	understanding of the strain on the Lakewood taxpayers,
18	is there room to get more Is there excess capacity
19	of in the Lakewood tax base?
20	MR. STARK: Objection. There has not been a
21	foundation set.
22	THE WITNESS: I
23	THE COURT: Wait. Wait.
	1

MR. STARK: There's not been a foundation set

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

1	that there was a strain on the Lakewood taxpayers.
2	MR. LANG: He just said He said that.
3	MR. INZELBUCH: Yeah.
4	MR. STARK: There's not been a foundation set
5	that there is a strain on individual taxpayers in
6	Lakewood.
7	MR. LANG: So, we can ask him. Okay.
8	MR. STARK: I would also object to the
9	question
10	MR. LANG: He said that.
11	MR. STARK: of whether or not this witness
12	is capable to testify about the strain of individual
13	families in Lakewood.
14	THE COURT: Well, that I certainly would
15	sustain.
16	MR. LANG: Well, let's just go back to
17	THE COURT: I'm really I'm really not so
18	quick to sure what you're trying to ask Mr. Azzara
19	about the Lakewood budget.
20	MR. LANG: Well, it's How are we going to
21	correct the situation? By raising taxes or getting
22	more State aid? That's basically what we're asking.
23	THE COURT: The why don't you ask him how to
24	correct the problem?
25	MR. LANG: Okay. Well Did you mention

1 Let me just ask him.

THE COURT: If he's -- If he's willing to put
that on the record.

MR. LANG: Let me just mention -- ask -- re

MR. STARK: I would renew the same objection to the same question that I raised with Mr. Shafter. That this is not a witness who's been qualified as an expert to offer an opinion as to the adequacy or the sufficiency of the budget and any -- any such solutions.

MR. LANG: But --

MR. STARK: Just for the record.

MR. LANG: Well, let me --

THE COURT: He might have some solutions in mind. So ask him.

BY MR. LANG:

Q All right. All right. But, wait. I want to just go back with this line of thought because he did -- he was -- (whispering) Okay. All right. What -- What is the solution for fixing Lakewood's budgetary problems?

A They need more revenue. We're -- If it comes from the taxpayers or it comes from the State, that's really a question for the legislature and the courts, not me.

I mean, I would assume that it would come from the State because the District is tapped for its property tax. And it can't raise anymore than it does. It goes to cap. So unless they remove the cap, any additional funding would have to come from the State. But the legislature could make any decision it wanted in terms of how to raise the additional money.

- Q Well, it would have to increase the local fair share? Would it have to increase the local fair share? Would the legislature have to increase the local fair share in order to increase taxes?

 A No. They would have to just take the cap off the property -- the property tax cap.
- Q Okay. And then -- And then if the State Mon -- Who would have the authority to raise the taxes at that point?
- A Right now I have to just talk about current law.

 And only the local voter can raise taxes above the cap.

Okay. I -- I think that -- Okay. You

- testified earlier -- All right. All right.

 (Whispering) I wanted to ask him about municipal overburden. It's very important. (Whispering)
- MR. INZELBUCH: -- Maybe the Judge will ask him.
- 25 BY MR. LANG:

1	Q You spent You spent substantial amount of
2	time in the Department of Finance. You spent a
3	substantial amount of time in the Abbott Districts. Is
4	that correct?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q You are familiar Are you familiar with the
7	difficulty involved in raising taxes in those
8	districts?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Is that what you call municipal overburden?
11	A Well, that's what The court came up with that
12	term.
13	Q Okay.
14	A You'd have to take a look at the various combined
15	local and munic school and municipal tax rates.
16	Okay. To see which districts really are overburdened
17	on their taxes.
18	Q Okay. So now I could ask the question I
19	think. Is Lakewood Does Lakewood share those same
20	characteristics as you've seen as being overburdened?
21	A It's
22	MR. STARK: Objection. I do not think
23	there's a foundation laid here.
24	THE COURT: I'm just going to let him answer

25

the question.

MR. STARK: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 1 2 MR. LANG: You can answer the question. THE COURT: You can answer the question, Mr. 3 4 Azzara. 5 THE WITNESS: In my opinion, okay, the tax 6 base is spread out over many more people than the people who send their children to the public school. 7 And it's -- it's definitely something that you would 8 have to take a look at if you wanted to determine if 9 10 the tax base was strained. And it definitely produces 11 municipal cost that wouldn't be there if it was only 12 the -- the families or the loc -- you know, the 13 families of the non-orthodox population. BY MR. LANG: 14 15 So, if I get you correct, there -- Lakewood 16 does have costs that you don't have in a district that 17 doesn't have such a high non-public population. 18 Α Exactly. 19 Okay. That's all I was trying to get to. 20 THE COURT: I thought I understood you to 21 say, Dr. Azzara, that essentially the -- the financial 22 wear-with-all, like with township, is more substantial 23 than that of, let's say, Newark or Patterson.

25 Is that what you said?

BY MR. LANG:

	Azzara - Direct 13.
1	A I'd have to take a look at and compare their tax
2	rates, the combined tax rates. I mean, they have a big
3	tax base, but a lot of it is because of the non-public
4	students, the families of the non-public students. So,
5	you know, whether they create costs that aren't in
6	other districts other districts that affect the tax
7	base, put a strain on the tax base, that's what we're
8	talking about.
9	Q Did
10	A Now, you could also you know, you could also
11	make the argument, although it's definitely debatable,

make the argument, although it's definitely debatable, if those 30,000 children came to the public schools there would be a substantial funding impact.

And would the local fair share go up if those 30,000 kids go -- went to the public schools?

Not the way the statutes written apparently. Α

THE COURT: But the State aid would increase.

THE WITNESS: Definitely.

BY MR. LANG:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. I guess we're pretty much done. I said I was -- (Whispering) All right. What's -- All right. Then I'm -- I'm finished. Mr. Stark could --THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Lang. MR. LANG: Thank you, Mr. Azzara.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

1	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Stark.
2	MR. STARK: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	MR. LANG: You don't have
4	MR. STARK: No, I'm thanking the Honor
5	MR. LANG: Oh.
6	MR. STARK: Thanking the Judge for letting me
7	take over cross examination.
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. STARK:
10	Q Thank you. Mr. Az Can you see me where I
11	am, Mr. Azzara?
12	A Yes, I can.
13	Q And I've never been a person who anyone has
14	ever had a hard time hearing.
15	A (Laughs)
16	Q So I'm assuming you can hear me, as well.
17	A I can hear you.
18	Q Mr. Azzara, the last question that Mr. Lang
19	asked you is about whether the local fair share would
20	increase if the private school population, the non-
21	public school population in Lakewood suddenly enrolled
22	in the public schools. And you indicated that the
23	local fair share would not increase. Correct?
24	A It would There could be a marginal
25	Q It would not be a significant increase to the

1 local fair share. Correct?

A It would not be significant. See, the local fair share, they -- the multipliers that are in the law, it really -- They are geared to determine how much local taxes have to be raised based on the amount of State aid that the legislature allocates. So.

- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$ The levy would increase though. Correct? $\ensuremath{\mathtt{A}}$ Yes.
- Q There would be an enrollment adjustment to the levy. Correct?
- A Well, it would probably affect it a little bit.
- Q It would -- It would be a significant increase. Correct?

A No, because, like I -- The State wide equalization aid. Okay. That is the -- That is the determinant or what they base the calculation on, in terms of those multipliers. They set those multipliers so it distributes the amount of equalization aid the legislature appropriates. And then the difference between the equalization aid, after they do their local fare share, is State aid.

Q Okay. And so the amount of equalization aid that is appropriated, that's a legislative decision.

Correct?

A That's correct.

	AZZala - Closs
1	Q And the levy cap, you testified earlier, the
2	levy cap
3	MR. LANG: Oh, no.
4	BY MR. STARK:
5	Q The levy cap is a is a Sorry. We We
6	had a flash on the scree there. The levy cap is also a
7	legislative decision. Correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. And so if those were to be changed,
LO	that would have to be a decision of the legislature.
L1	A Correct. Or the Supreme Court.
L2	Q When you When you came into the District
L3	as a State Monitor, were you familiar with Or are
L 4	Are you familiar with the manner in which the District
L5	was distributing aid in lieu of transportation when you
L 6	came into the District?
L7	A Yes.
L 8	Q That money is supposed to be paid to families
L 9	of of students for transportation. Correct?
20	A Correct.
21	Q And that was not, in fact, the way that the
22	District was distributing the money. Is that correct?
2	A It was sending the money directly to the non-

Q And how was it doing that?

public school.

24

1 A By check.

- Q And how -- how did -- And you became aware of this upon your arrival at the District?
 - A Well, not -- not initially.
 - Q Okay.
 - A We did a couple of -- We did discover it maybe about two years in.
 - Q Okay. And so, so it's your testimony -So, what you're indicating -- Strike that. What you're
 indicating is that the District was cutting checks
 directly to the non-public schools in the amount of a
 student's aid in lieu of transportation?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Okay. When you arrived in the District, did Lakewood own any of its own school busses?
 - A They did not have a fleet. They had maybe a couple of vans.
 - Q Okay. So you testified that they -- they did not own their own fleet. Is a busing fleet for Lakewood something that would help control its transportation costs?
 - A We did -- We did implement an in-house busing system and we did purchase a fleet of busses, to move away from the -- the contractors. We're now doing another -- We're going to be sending out an RFP to do a

Is there any job role that you have that

David Shafter doesn't have?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Azzara - Redirect 139
1	MR. STARK: Objection. Outside the scope of
2	cross examination.
3	MR. LANG: Okay. All right.
4	MR. INZELBUCH: A missed question.
5	MR. GROSSMAN: Yeah, it's an omitted
6	question, Your Honor, just for purposes of rep tying
7	it up.
8	THE COURT: I'll permit it.
9	BY MR. LANG:
10	Q Yeah. She's permitting.
11	A I'm designated as the Lead State Monitor.
12	Q Okay. Now, Mr. Stark asked you about the
13	local fare share in the the if all the kids went
14	to the schools. And basically what my question is, by
15	by increasing the adequacy budget, either from all
16	the kids going to the public schools or that the
17	adequacy at least recognizes the expenses of the
18	Lakewood School District, how exactly would this effect
19	the local fare share? You spoke about something about
20	marginally before.
21	A Well, like I said, that it would it would drive
22	more State aid, more equalization aid to Lakewood. Now
23	the impact that it would have on the multipliers, to

more State aid, more equalization aid to Lakewood. Not the impact that it would have on the multipliers, to calculate the local fare share, because those -- That money would have to come from other districts. So it

would change the local share contribution, but I couldn't tell you if it would -- It wouldn't be significant. And I couldn't exactly tell you how it would play out.

Q It would be a multiplier state wide, not just Lakewood. Is that correct?

A Well yeah, because the multipliers are basically the product of how much State aid is appropriated by the legislature. So they -- they determine, based on the -- you know, based on income and property wealth, how much each community receives or how much the local share should be. How much they -- The ability to pay they said -- or they. But it's really a product of how much State aid they allocate. So the local share would have to change because Lakewood's pulling it off more of the State allocation. So the multipliers would have to change to recognize that.

O Well --

A If -- State wide it would probably be an insignificant.

Q That would be pulling -- Would that be if a overall budget was increased or the overall budget of the State remain the same?

A If the overall budget of the State remained the same.

- Azzara Redirect 141 1 Pardon. Q 2 If the overall budget of the State remained the 3 same. All right. So --4 5 Assuming -- Assuming that we're talking about the same pot of equalization aid being available. 6 7 So, tell me if this statement is correct. That it's -- If the adequacy budget was increased, the 8 local fare share would essentially not change, 9 essentially. I'm saying ess --10 MR. STARK: Objection. Leading. 11 12 BY MR. LANG: 13 Okay. All right. It will only marginally 14 change. 15 MR. STARK: Objection. Still leading. 16 MR. LANG: That's what he said though. 17 THE COURT: It's still leading. 18 BY MR. LANG: 19 All right. So how would you characterize 20 this change in the local fair share? Is it significant, marginal, whatever word you'd use. 21 22 I don't think it would be significant. And that's
 - Q Okay. All right. Are you familiar with the census data of -- of the wealth of Lakewood, the per

about the best I can do.

23

24

142 Azzara - Redirect 1 capita income? 2 Yes. 3 MR. STARK: Objection. It's outside the 4 scope of cross examination. THE COURT: It is out -- It is outside the 5 6 scope of cross examination. 7 MR. INZELBUCH: Of course it is. 8 MR. LANG: But it has to do with the capacity 9 of the local population to --10 THE COURT: The it should have been asked on 11 Direct. 12 MR. LANG: I forgot to ask it. Could I ask 13 it? 14 THE COURT: I'll permit it. 15 BY MR. LANG: 16 Are you familiar with the per capita income 17 of the people of Lakewood? 18 Α Yes. What -- Do you know the number? 19 20 It's about 15,000 per capita. Α 21 Is that high or low? 22 Α It's low. It's less than half the State average. 23 Are -- Are you familiar with any other

indicators from the census that would indicate the --

the wealth of the -- the municipality or the people?

24

	1
	Azzara - Recross 143
1	A Not off the top of my head. No.
2	MR. GROSSMAN: No further questions.
3	MR. LANG: No further questions. Thank you.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	MR. STARK: Very briefly.
6	THE COURT: Sure.
7	RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. LANG:
8	Q You were asked about the per capita income.
9	Are you familiar with the median age in Lakewood?
10	A It's very low, I know that. I'm not sure.
11	THE COURT: Very low.
12	THE WITNESS: I don't know if I don't know
13	the exact age. But I know it's a it's a young town
14	because of the number of children.
15	BY MR. STARK:
16	Q And per capita income is calculated according
17	to every man, woman, and child, regardless of whether
18	they are of working age or over or below the average
19	working age. Is that correct?
20	A That's correct.
21	MR. STARK: Okay. Thank you.
22	MR. LANG: Can I just follow up on what Mr.
23	Stark just asked.
24	THE COURT: I think we're done.

MR. LANG: Well, about what he just asked

1 about how they calculate. I want to just ask him if 2 he's familiar with the -- the family income, the 3 household income. 4 THE COURT: No. Because you asked about per 5 capita income, he followed it up. 6 MR. LANG: Okay. 7 THE COURT: And that's it. MR. LANG: All right. It's on the data 8 9 anyway. 10 THE COURT: All right. So thank you very 11 much, Mr. Azzara. 12 MR. LANG: Thank you, Mr. Azzara. 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 14 THE COURT: So we can disconnect. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Okay. I 17 don't know how to do that. 18 MR. LANG: Got that done. 19 (BRIEF RECESS) 20 THE COURT: Okay. We're on the record. This 21 is the recall of Mr. Fingers -- Finger. Correct? 22 MR. INZELBUCH: Correct. 23 THE COURT: And we're finished with Direct, 24 is that right, Mr. Lang? 25 MR. STARK: Yes, Your Honor, I believe.

145 Colloquy / Finger - Cross MR. LANG: Yes. Yes, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: Okay. So now we're up to cross 2 examination. You remain under oath. Do you understand 3 4 that? THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 5 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 6 7 ROBERT FINGER, PETITIONER'S WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY SWORN, RESUMES THE STAND. 8 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. PRAPAS: 9 Okay. Mr. Finger, you testified that the 10 11 Lakewood School District had approximately a Five 12 Million Dollar budget surplus when you left the 13 District in 2010. Is that correct? 14 That's correct. Α 15 And a fiscally healthy school district should 16 have some surplus in their budget to cover 17 unanticipated expenses. Is that correct? 18 Correct. Α 19 And you also testified that Lakewood began 20 going into a budget deficit in around 2013/2014, and

Q And you also testified that Lakewood began going into a budget deficit in around 2013/2014, and that it reached a high point of about Six point Million and then after the Monitors were installed the deficit decreased to 4.3 Million in 2016/17. Is that your testimony?

A Correct.

21

22

23

24

1 Okay. And you also testified that the Q District received an 8.6 Million Dollar State aid 2 advance last year. Is that correct? 3 4 For -- For the current school year. Right. For 5 17/18. 6 For 17/18. 7 Α Right. Correct. Okay. And the District -- In the 8 years that you have reviewed, from -- You testified 9 10 that you reviewed the budgets from 2013 onward, I 11 think. In those years, the District has never gone 12 without enough funds, in every school year, to balance 13 its budget. Is that correct? No. Well, they needed the State aid advances to, 14 15 for the last couple of years, to balance their budget. 16 Q. Okay. 17 They didn't have enough from tax levy and regular 18 State aid. 19 But yes -- Yes or --20 And just to clarify, I didn't review the -- I 21 reviewed the audit reports. 22 Okay. Yes or no that in those years, 23 regardless of the source of funds, the District has 24 never gone without enough funds to balance its budget.

25

Is that correct?

1 A They approved a balanced budget. Correct.

Q And you are aware that Lakewood is not the only District in the State that gets loans against State aid. Is that correct?

A I am not aware of any others that get loans. But there may in fact be. I don't know if there are any others.

Q Okay. So you have nothing to dispute the fact that other districts get loans against State aid.

A I can't say for sure that others do or don't. I

only know that Lakewood does.

Q So you have nothing to --

MR. INZELBUCH: Asked and answered. How many times --

THE COURT: Yes. He had answered it.

BY MS. PRAPAS:

Q Okay. So you testified that the District also receives approximately 1.6 Million Dollars from the Township for sports, courtesy busing, and -- courtesy busing for public students and for related services. Is that correct?

A Correct.

Q Okay. And you're aware that the Municipality was able to elect to do this because it had a budget surplus. Is that correct?

	Finger - Cross 148
1	MR. LANG: Objection
2	THE COURT: Yeah. Does he know?
3	THE WITNESS: I don't know if the town I
4	don't I've never looked at the Town's books. I
5	don't know if they have a surplus or not, but obviously
6	they have funds available.
7	BY MS. PRAPAS:
8	Q So you have nothing to dispute that the
9	Municipality has a budget surplus.
10	A I have no knowledge whether they do or don't. But
11	one can only assume.
12	MR. STARK: Thanks, Your Honor.
13	MS. PRAPAS: Okay. Thank you.
14	MR. INZELBUCH: Don't assume.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Inzelbuch, your comments,
16	please.
17	MR. INZELBUCH: Well, when this is assuming
18	and guessing, like, aren't they Shouldn't he not do
19	that?
20	THE COURT: He should not be doing that.
21	Don't guess. If you don't know, you don't know.
22	MS. PRAPAS: Well, I asked him if he had
23	anything to dispute it, and he said no. Right? That

THE COURT: He said doesn't know.

he didn't have anything --

24

1 BY MS. PRAPAS:

- Q Okay. You also testified that all of the transportation costs to the District were for mandated transportation services. Is that correct?
- A Correct.
 - Q And you also testified that under the LSTA pilot program, the consortium could pay for courtesy busing for ineligible non-public students, aka non-mandated transportation, if there was money left after paying for all of the eligible non-public students. Is that correct?
- A That's correct.
- Q And you're aware that the District is required to review LSTA's request for proposals. Is that correct?
- A That I'm not aware of. No.
- Q So, you would not be aware that the LSTA bid courtesy busing routes were ineligible non-public students along with the routes for mandated students in contravention of the LSTA?
- A I'm not aware of that.
 - Q You're aware that if that did happen, that would be in contravention of the LSTA, based on your testimony.
- A I'm -- I'm not an attorney. I just -- I'm not

1 aware of what the LSTA does. I don't oversee the LSTA. Nor do I -- I've never seen any records from the LSTA. 2 3 MR. LANG: (Whispering) 4 THE COURT: Mr. Lang, really. 5 MR. LANG: Sorry. 6 BY MS. PRAPAS: 7 But you testified that you understood that under the LSTA pilot program that the consortium is 8 9 supposed to pay for courtesy busing for ineligible non-10 public school students, only after its paid for all of 11 the mandated students. Correct? 12 That's what the law says. 13 Q Okay. Yes. That they could use -- If they have funds 14 15 left over, after providing busing for mandated, that 16 the LSTA can then use funds to provide busing for 17 courtesy. 18 Okay. 19 That's what the law says. 20 And you also testified that the District, 21 under the LSTA pilot program, must give a Thousand 22 Dollars per students to the consortium. Correct? 23 That's what the law says. Correct. 24 And that the State reimburses the District

25

for a portion of that.

151 Finger - Cross 1 Correct. Α 2 And the District must cover the difference. 3 Α Correct. 4 You're aware that the Commissioner must 5 review the LSTA pilot program next year to determine whether or not it should be renewed. 6 I believe that's in the law. That's right. 7 And that will make a determination at that 8 9 time whether to renew the LSTA pilot program. 10 That's what I've read. Yes. Α 11 So, would you go on record today as saying 12 that the pilot program should not be renewed? 1.3 MR. LANG: Objection. MS. PRAPAS: What's the basis for the 14 15 objection? 16 THE COURT: Yeah, what's your basis for the 17 objection? 18 MR. GROSSMAN: Beyond the scope of Direct, 19 Your Honor. 20 MR. LANG: It's beyond the scope of the 21 Direct. 22 MS. PRAPAS: No. Because he testified that

the budget had issues because of the -- this difference

25 THE COURT: I'll permit it.

in cost that the district had to make up.

23

1	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question,
2	please?
3	BY MS. PRAPAS:
4	Q Sure. So would you go on the record today
5	saying that the LSTA pilot program should not be
6	renewed?
7	A My personal opinion, I don't think it sh I
8	think it should be renewed. That's just my personal
9	opinion.
LO	Q When you testified Okay. When you
L1	testified last time on the 7th, you referred to the
L2	2016/17 revised budget as the budget advertised on the
L3	user friendly budget for 2016/17. But that's not the
L 4	same as the actual cost for 2016/17. Correct?
L5	A Could you please Yeah.
L 6	Q You want me to repeat? Sorry.
L7	A Repeat that again. Yeah.
L 8	Q So on the on the user friendly budget.
L 9	A Well, I Which user friendly budget? For the
20	current year? For 17/18?
21	Q It was P-5.
22	MR. GROSSMAN: I thought the question was
23	2016/2017.
24	MR. LANG: That's this one.
2.5	MR. INZELBUCH: Wait, wait. Let her find it.

1 BY MS. PRAPAS:

- Q P-5. Do you want me to come up and show you?
- 3 A Yeah. Let me see. Yeah, that would be great.
 - MR. LANG: P-5, you want?
- 5 MR. INZELBUCH: She has P-5. She's going to
- 6 show him, Arthur.
- 7 MR. LANG: Yeah.
- 8 BY MS. PRAPAS:
- 9 Q Okay. So this is actually R-5.
- 10 A Oh, okay. It's the 17/18 budget. Right?
- 11 Q But it's the same as P-5. So on this
- document, when you referred to the revised budget, you
- said it's the budget as advertised. But that's not the
- same as the actual costs for 2016/17. Correct? The
- 2016/17 revised is not the same as the 2016/17 actual
- 16 costs. Correct?
- 17 A No.
- 18 O Yes.
- 19 A No. This is the 2017/18 user friendly budget.
- This is what you're budgeting for 17/18.
- 21 Q Can you state for the record what you're
- 22 pointing to?
- 23 A Yes. The column marked 2016/17 Anticipated.
- That's what you're budgeting for, for the next year.
- The column marked 2016/17 Revised, is not the original

1 State approved budget. What the State has you do when 2 you prepare your budget for next -- for each year, is the column, the previous year, as opposed to putting in 3 the budgeted numbers, okay, you put in your most 4 5 revised numbers as of February 1st. So this would 6 reflect any transfers in and out of accounts. It's 7 still going to be your -- your budget. You know, it should be the budgeted amount from the year before. 8 9 But it could be in different places. That's why the 10 State calls it a rev -- revised.

- Q So --
- A But it's not actual expenses. That's just --
 - Q It's not the same as the actual expenses --
- 14 A No.

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 15 Q -- at the end of the --
- 16 A Right.
- 17 Q -- fiscal year.
 - A The 15/16 Actual column is actual expenses from the prior year, audited.
 - Q Okay. And so the 2017/18 Anticipated column, those are just -- Is -- That's an estimate of the next year's budget, of that year's budget?
 - A Well, that is the budget. It's the -- What you're ask, is what you're saying to the public, that this is what we want to have as our budget for that year

- 1 Q But again, the -- so the Anticipated column.
 - A Anticipated.

- Q That's not the actual costs, on P-5, for 17/18. Correct? That's not the actual costs.
- 5 A No. No, it's not.
 - Q Okay.
 - A Because you're preparing that budget a year or so in advance. Okay? You're preparing like in March. Like right now, we'll prepare our 18/19 budget during the month of March of 18. So you're talking over a year ahead of -- you know, a year ahead of time. So it's what you anticipate, what you're budgeting. It's not actual numbers.
 - Q Okay. You're aware that Lakewood's totalized -- total equalized school tax rate is one of the lowest among similarly sized districts? Are you --
 - A Personally aware? No, I haven't done any study of other districts to see what their equalized value is.

 But all I could say is that equalized value has to be taken into -- You have to understand that if a town has just had a reassessment, okay. And I believe Lakewood had a reassessment I think last year or the year before. So tax rates, if you're like looking on the table of equalized values, put out by each county tax board. And you see, you know, everybody's got a rate,

1 or 6
2 sudo
3 a lo
4 next
5 the
6 reas
7 reas
8 rate
9 than
10 thos
11 glar
12 Doll
13 You

19 20

18

22

21

232425

or everybody's, you know, \$1.43, \$1.37, \$1.20. And suddenly you see a town, 98 cents. Oh, wow, they have a low rate. But usually there may be a little notation next to it, a little r. Then you read the legend at the bottom. Either there's been a reval or reassessment. And the other towns may not have had a reassessment or a reval in many years. So their tax rate is much higher, but the assessed values are lower than what fair market is. So you have to really read those tables and read all the notes to see. So just glancing at that table and seeing that a town has A Dollar Four point Five; Oh, their rate is really low. You have to really know the details behind that.

Q Okay. So you're aware that even when the total municipal tax levy has gone up in recent years, in the past in Lakewood, the school tax rate has remained lower than State average.

A I'm not aware of --

Q Okay.

A -- of whether it's lower than the State average or not. No.

Q You testified that a district can afford to spend 40 percent of its budget on transportation and tuition costs?

A Correct.

1 That was your testimony. If a bridge were Q 2 built over Route 9, that could reduce transportation 3 costs? I'm not a transportation expert. But I -- I live 4 5 in the town next door and travel Route 9 extensively. 6 You would have to build a lot of bridges. It wouldn't 7 just be one. And if other measures were implemented, other 8 safety measures that would make routes less hazardous, 9 10 like putting in additional crossing guards, that could 11 also reduce transportation costs. 12 In theory, if you -- if routes weren't hazardous 13 Okay. Thank you. 14 15 -- and you didn't have to bus the children. 16 yes, you would save on your busing costs. 17 And also -- Strike that. You testified that 18 the revenue to Lakewood is limited by a two percent 19 levy cap. 20 Well, for Lakewood and for every school district. Α 21 Yes. 22 Right. Q 23 With -- With several exceptions allowed by law. 24 But in general, it's a two percent hard cap.

And the decision to impose that two percent

- 1 hard cap is a legislative decision. Correct?
- 2 A Correct.

- Q It's a policy decision. Correct?
- A Well it's legislated. It's the law. Yeah.
 - Q Okay. And you're aware that the voters of Lakewood can elect to exceed that cap for certain expenditures that don't constitute T and E?
- A Yes. They could go out for a separate proposal.

 Correct.
 - Q Okay. Like transportation costs for non-public students, because that is not a cost that constitutes T and E. Correct?
 - A Well, man -- Well, mandated costs they can't go
 out. You can only go out -- At least what I've learned
 over the years, as a BA. You could go out for a
 separate proposal as long as it doesn't affect T and E.
 And as long as it's not a mandated expenditure. Now,
 mandated busing for the two or two and a half miles
 can't be put out to a separate proposal because State
 law requires it.
 - Q And by two and two and a half miles, you mean farther than two or two and a half miles.
 - A Well for elementary students, the law says if they're more than two miles remote from school, the district must provide busing. And if they're more than

Finger - Cross 1 two and a half miles remote from school, and in high 2 school, the district must provide busing. And the law further states that once the district provides busing 3 4 for public school students, it must provide the same 5 busing for non-public students. 6 Okay. And you're aware that voters could 7 elect to exceed the two percent hard cap for athletic expenses or for athletic costs. 8 That would be correct. 9 Α 10 -- athletic programs. 11 Α That would be correct. 12 Okay. And you are aware that the voters of 13 Lakewood voted down the -- the question to exceed the 14 cap for courtesy busing? 15 I'm not familiar. 16 Q. Okay. 17 I know that was several years ago. But I -- But 18 didn't really follow it closely. 19 Okay. You also testified that the State did 20 not ask Lakewood to repay the loans against future 21 State aid for the 2015/16 year. Correct? 22 I believe they got a deferment for that. Yes. 23 And you also testified that you were told by 24 the State, quote, "At least budget wise," unquote, that 25 it would have to pay back the loans. Is that correct?

1 Is that your testimony?

Correct?

- A We were advised -- I was advised by the State

 Monitor, Mr. Shafter, that it's -- there's a good

 likelihood that we'll have to pay back at least 2 point

 -- a little -- almost 2.1 Million in the 18/19 budget.

 So that when I'm putting the budget together, to at

 least for right now, budget for that.
- Q Okay. But up to this point, DOE has deferred the existing laws against State aid to Lakewood.

A We've paid back some money. But it's they've stretched it out over ten years. And in this year, we were told we were getting a deferment. But actually, trea — the Department of Treasury has been taking the loans back. And actually, the State Monitor just told us yesterday, that he's still in contact with his boss in Trenton, as well as Treasury, to A, stop taking the money out of our State aid and to put it back.

Because it was agreed earlier in the year that they weren't going to take it out, but they've been taking it out since. I'm not sure, because I think they've been taking it out since September.

Q If the State doesn't request that Lakewood pay back the loans. Those funds that were satisfied in the budget can be redistributed for other general fund

1 expenses. Is that correct?

- 2 A Theoretically, yes.
- Q Okay. So looking at P-23. I don't know if
 you have another copy of this, Art. Do you have a copy
- 5 of P-23 for him?
- 6 MR. LANG: Yeah, sure. P -- Oh, no. I
- 7 didn't. I have a whole thing --
- 8 MS. PRAPAS: I can walk up here. I'll walk
- 9 up.
- MR. INZELBUCH: Arthur, you don't have one.
- 11 It's okay though.
- MR. LANG: I have it on my computer.
- MR. INZELBUCH: Well.
- 14 BY MS. PRAPAS:
- 15 Q All right. So this was a document that you
- 16 testified about last time. And you cite two sources at
- 17 the bottom here.
- 18 A Right.
- 19 Q Annual audit reports for 2014 through 17 and
- 20 budget projection reports for 2018/19.
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q But you don't specify on the document what
- 23 numbers come from which source. Correct?
- 24 A Well, the 2014/15 actual, 15/16 actual, and 16/17
- actual, are from the audit reports. The projected is

comingcomputcurren

coming off our budget projection report from our computer system, our accounting system. And the 17/18 current expenditures is also from off the District's accounting system.

Q Okay. So we have no way to verify the numbers in the 2017/18 Current column or the 2018/19 Projected column because they're on the District's own software. Correct?

A Well, short of printing a report.

Q Okay. So in projecting expenses for 2018/2019, you didn't consider that the LSTA pilot program might not be re -- renewed? That's not part of the budget software. It doesn't consider things like that.

A Well, we're --

MR. INZELBUCH: Your Honor, objection. It's not a legal way to do it. The law -- We're all going to sit here and say I'm just an observer. But the LSTA says they have to fund this next year. So there has to come a point of fantasy ended. Because LSTA law says, the LSTA must be funded 18/19. So there would be no reason for him to consider otherwise.

THE COURT: Well, just because the legislature says it's funded doesn't mean it will be.

MR. INZELBUCH: Just like they say we'll get

1 theoretical funding.

THE WITNESS: We have to fund the LSTA.

THE COURT: The same thing -- The same thing with the school funding formula.

MR. INZELBUCH: Right.

THE COURT: It's supposed to be funded but it's not.

MR. INZELBUCH: And you know what, excellent point.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Inzelbuch. Even though your commentary is not always appropriate.

(Laughter) Okay.

MS. PRAPAS: Okay, so --

THE COURT: You can answer the question.

MS. PRAPAS: Go ahead.

THE COURT: Projecting for the 2018/2019.

exists for next year. We have to fund it at \$1,000 per student, times the number of students that are mandated for busing. Every year, when that number -- After speaking with our transportation department and the business administrator himself, and others in the district, other administrators, we normally budget an additional 2,500 to 3,000 students each year that will be eligible for, as non-public mandated students. So

1 yes, in the budget for next year, we have money set aside that will go to the LSTA, based on \$1,000 a 2 student times roughly about 25,000 students. 3 4 BY MS. PRAPAS: 5 Okay. So this column here, this doesn't take

into -- This 2018/19 projected from the budget software, it doesn't take into consideration chan -possible changes in law and possible changes in the Township, in the -- kind of the -- the facts of the Township, it doesn't consider that as part of the budget software where -- where making this projection. Is that correct?

It takes into -- Well, it's not that the system doesn't take it. It's the person entering it into the system. I could only take into account what I know at that moment.

Okay. Thank you. So, turning to P-26, which is this one here. Oh, and just this, P-23, you -- you completed this document yourself, entirely.

Yes, I did. Α

- No one else assisted with --
- 22 Α Correct.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 23 -- with this.
- 24 Α Correct.
- 25 Okay. And for P-26, the same thing. You're

- Finger Cross 1 the only one that -- that -- You're the only person 2 that performed the analysis and generated this document. No one assisted you in creating it. 3 Correct. 4 Α 5 Okay. So, looking at P-26, you don't cite 6 any sources on this page for any these figures. 7 Correct? You don't --Not on the page itself. No. 8 9 Okay. And you testified -- Let me get --10 Okay. You testified last time that this transportation 11 aid number at the top, this Four Million One Hundred 12 Ninety-Nine Thousand Seven Hundred Ninety-Three 13 Dollars. You testified that that came from the 2018 State aid -- the State Aid Notice. Correct? 14 15 Α For what? 16 And this was P-25.
- 17 From our State Aid Notice. Correct.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Okay. And this is the Info Only Notice. Correct?
- Which is not your actual State aid. Α
 - Okay. But this is where you got this -- You testified that this is where you got this number from.
 - No, I got this number -- The formula is from our State -- Well, let me go back a sec. There were two different printouts that the State gives. You get a

- 166 Finger - Cross 1 State aid printout that has your actual State aid. 2 They also give out one called For Information Only. And that's really what it is. It's for -- It's what 3 your State aid would have been had the school funding 4 5 formula been fully funded. But this is not the one 6 where you're pulling your numbers from. That's why 7 they mark it For Information Only. But this is what you testified last time that 8 9 you got the numbers from. 10 No, I test --Α 11 This is what you were shown. 12 I believe I testified that it came from the State 13 aid printout. I don't remember this being shown to me during the last testimony. I know I stated this came 14 15 from the State aid printout that has our actual State 16 aid on it. 17 Okay. I can bring you the other one. Ι 18 think the number is the same.
 - MR. INZELBUCH: If you could just let us all know which exhibit you're looking.

MS. PRAPAS: Sure. I think it's R-10.

MR. INZELBUCH: All right.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LANG: Could I have it?

MS. PRAPAS: Let me check. It's just the -the State Aid Notice. Yeah.

	Finger - Cross 167
1	MR. LANG: Oh. So, that would correspond t
2	my P P-3. Is that P-3?
3	MR. INZELBUCH: Could we just Before you
4	show him.
5	MS. PRAPAS: Yeah, let's check the one.
6	MR. LANG: Can I see what it is? Is it the
7	
8	MR. INZELBUCH: Well, let He should be
9	able to see it. He asked to see it.
10	MS. PRAPAS: This is just the State aid.
11	MR. LANG: Is it this?
12	THE WITNESS: This is the incorrect year.
13	MR. INZELBUCH: Wait.
14	MR. LANG: Can we know what it is?
15	MS. PRAPAS: Hold on.
16	THE COURT: What is the
17	MS. PRAPAS: I might not have it here.
18	THE WITNESS: Yeah. We need to look for
19	17/18.
20	MS. PRAPAS: Yeah. Do you have the
21	MR. LANG: Is it this thing?
22	MS. PRAPAS: It's the one that you showed him
23	last time. Yes.
24	MR. LANG: Well no. I didn't show this to
25	him. I showed this to Danielle Ferry (phonetic).

	Finger - Cross
1	MS. PRAPAS: I don't know what you have
2	there. Hold on. Let me
3	MR. LANG: Can I see what you have at least?
4	MR. INZELBUCH: All right. Let her show you.
5	MS. PRAPAS: Well, hold on.
6	THE COURT: Now, now, please.
7	MS. PRAPAS: All right. Okay. So looking at
8	this number here, this Four Million One Hundred Ninety-
9	Nine Seven Hundred and Nineteen
10	MR. INZELBUCH: What document?
11	THE COURT: What exhibit?
12	MS. PRAPAS: This is P-26.
13	THE COURT: All right. Which was shown to
14	him on Direct.
15	MS. PRAPAS: Right. So, you testified that
16	you got that number
17	(Sneeze)
18	MR. INZELBUCH: Bless you.
19	MS. PRAPAS: number from the 2016/2017
20	regular State Aid Notice, not the Info Only Notice.
21	THE WITNESS: No, 2017/2018
22	MS. PRAPAS: 2017-2018.
23	THE WITNESS: State Aid. Right.
24	BY MS. PRAPAS:
25	Q Okay.

- 1 A Right. This is the 2017/2018 State Aid.
- Q Okay. And you also testified that regarding your calculations here --
 - A Hm hmm.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q -- in -- on P-26, the bottom three rows, the percentage aid for non-public, percentage aid for public, and percentage age for -- percentage aid for special ed students.
- A Right.
 - Q You testified that to get those numbers, you divided the total number of public special ed and non-public students by the total number of students to determine the aid allocation percentages. Is that correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Okay. Looking at the next table down on P
 26. You do not cite or testify -- You didn't -- You

 didn't testify to the source that you relied on for the

 non-public mandated busing costs for 2017/2018.
- 20 Correct?
- 21 A I don't recall. But --
- Q Okay. And there's no source cited on this page here. Correct?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q So we have no way to verify that number for

- 1 the projected cost for 2017/18.
- 2 A I can tell you where I got them from.
- 3 Q Okay.
- 4 A Okay.
- 5 Q Where did you get them?
- A Well, you know what, let's start at the very top.
- 7 Q Well, no. Let -- Wait.
- 8 A You want to start here?
- 9 Q Just here.
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Q Where did you get this number from? And I'm
 12 pointing to --
- 13 A This --
- 14 Q -- Projected 2017/18 --
- 15 A When this --
- 16 Q -- form.
- A When this form was filled out a couple of weeks

 ago, we went -- I went onto our accounting system, took

 a look at what we were projecting to pay in non-public

 schools for mandated busing, put that. And that's

 where that number comes from.
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A Okay.
- Q So yet again. So we don't have anyway to verify that, the accuracy of -- I mean, this is a

4			C '		7 7
1	pro-	jection,	Ilrst	ΟĪ	$a \perp \perp$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A It's roughly based on \$1,000 per -- Well, this is based on \$1,000 per student times the number of students. Plus a propor -- Each one of these, a proportionate share of the overhead of operating the transportation department within the District. That would be salaries, benefits, pension, and health insurance. All of that.

Q Okay.

A So it gives you a true cost.

MR. INZELBUCH: Is there anyway you could have another copy of that instead of leaning over him?

MS. PRAPAS: Yes. I'm sorry.

MR. INZELBUCH: And -- Let's like --

MS. PRAPAS: Yes. Let me -- I have another copy.

MR. LANG: I have a copy machine here.

MS. PRAPAS: No, I have one.

MR. LANG: I can get a copy 1-2-3.

MR. INZELBUCH: I didn't know you brought a copy machine.

MR. LANG: Right there.

MR. INZELBUCH: Really.

MS. PRAPAS: No, I -- That's fine. I have

25 one.

	Finger - Cross 1/2
1	MR. INZELBUCH: This hearing's teaching me a
2	lot. A copy machine, Skype
3	MR. LANG: It would be you
4	MR. INZELBUCH: Not bad. It's too good.
5	THE WITNESS: Bring your own copy machine.
6	MR. INZELBUCH: You can't talk to me. Bring
7	your own copy machine.
8	THE WITNESS: Bring your own copy machine.
9	MR. INZELBUCH: Keep the jokes til the end.
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.
11	MR. STARK: Sorry. One second, Your Honor.
12	We're just
13	MR. INZELBUCH: Please help her. Do you have
14	a bottle of water, sir, you want or something? Are you
15	okay?
16	THE WITNESS: Oh.
17	THE COURT: Do you want water or anything?
18	THE WITNESS: I'm fine.
19	MR. INZELBUCH: We need you. Not many people
20	like when I say that.
21	THE WITNESS: I mean, people in family say
22	that either, but it's all right. It's all right.
23	MR. INZELBUCH: No, you can't joke with me.
24	THE COURT: You can't talk to him.
25	THE WITNESS: Okay.

1 THE COURT: There's a copy machine outside. Just ask -- Just ask the officer. 2 3 MS. PRAPAS: Okay. MR. STARK: Thank you for the indulgence, 4 5 Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 MR. LANG: What? MR. INZELBUCH: No, you relax. Relax. 8 Everyone relax. In and out. Breath. 9 10 THE COURT: Mr. Inzelbuch. 11 MR. INZELBUCH: I'm just telling you. THE COURT: How often do I have to tell you? 12 13 I get tired of --14 MR. INZELBUCH: I know. But you do down like 15 me. 16 THE COURT: I want you to control yourself. 17 MR. INZELBUCH: I'm trying. But I'm watching 18 this and it's very hard. 19 MR. LANG: You get along with no one. 20 (Laughs) BY MS. PRAPAS: 21 22 Okay. So you -- you testified that the 23 District receives two types of transportation aid. 24 Correct? 25 Correct.

	Q Okay. The first type will be Categorical
A	id, which is that 4.2 Million Dollar figure at the top
0	f Table 1 on P-26, which the State provides to the
D:	istrict in 20 installments throughout the year, from
Se	eptember to June.

A Correct.

- Q And the second type of aid, the State provides for non-public students who are transported.

 And that, the State provides the District at the end of the year, after the District files for reimbursement from the State. Correct?
- A They file for reimbursement in June. Correct?

 And normally you don't get the actual cash until July or August.
- Q Okay. So you have on that table, on P-26, the number 19,174 students as the number of non-public students. Correct?

A Correct.

- Q And you got that number from the 2017/2018 State Aid Notice. And that is the October 16 Count. Correct?
- A That's -- It's -- It's from the 2017/2018 State aid printout. Correct. And that's based on your October 15th, 2000 -- In this case, 16, District Report of transported resident students. It's always a year

_	
1	l behind
1	

3

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q And the District was reimbursed for those -the cost for those students in fiscal year 16/17.
- 4 Correct?
 - A No. The students that are reported on the DRTRS for October 15, 2016 are the students that generate the aid for the subsequent fiscal year, for 17/18.
 - Q Right.
- 9 A Right.
- 10 Q But that figure on the table, that 19,174

 11 students, you got that from the State Aid Notice, not

 12 from the DRTRS. Correct?
 - A The 19,174 is from the State Aid Notice. Correct.
 - Q Right. So, if there were more -- more students -- more non-public students on the DRTRS, then the District would receive more State aid than what you have listed on that table. Correct?
 - A The more students you report that you're -- that are --
- MR. LANG: Objection.
- 21 THE WITNESS: -- meet the requirements, you would get more aid. Correct.
- THE COURT: He's already answered the question.
- MR. LANG: Oh. But I wanted to know what

1	year.	More	State	aid	for	what	year:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

MS. PRAPAS: Well, the year on the table for 3 -- on his table, on P-26, the second table down.

MR. LANG: And so if he --

THE COURT: --

MR. LANG: You're asking if more were to be reported in 2016, you will get more.

MR. INZELBUCH: I think the Judge has a question.

THE COURT: Does it always run like a year behind? Is that how it works?

THE WITNESS: It's always a year. There's two forms of aid. This categorical aid is based on your District report of transported students, which is a year behind. The money that you file for in June as a reimbursement is based on what you actually have in June.

BY MS. PRAPAS:

- Right. So if -- It would be for -- for every year it works that way.
- 21 For every year it works that way.
 - Q Okay.
- 23 Right. Α
- 24 Q So on the table, where you have listed as --25 as \$763 for student, if -- if the District received

- more aid, based on the DRTRS numbers, then it would be actually less than \$763 per student. Correct?
- A The more aid you would receive, the less your cost would be. Correct.
 - Q Okay. And you testified that, quote, "If you only just looked at the public school students and the wealth of that group of folks, we would be getting a lot more in terms of State aid." Is that your testimony?
 - A Say that again. Sorry.
 - Q Okay. Quote, "If you only looked at the amount of -- at the public school students and the wealth of that group of folks, -- "
- 14 A Oh.

- Q " -- we would be getting a lot more in terms of State aid."
- A Correct.
 - Q Okay. But isn't it true that every person that lives in a municipality has to pay school taxes, including people that don't have any children at all?

 A Correct.
 - Q And including people that elect to place their children in private schools. In any Township, those people also have to pay municipal taxes, inschool taxes. Correct?

1 A That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

20

21

22

- Q And isn't it true that the Lakewood Board of Education sets the school tax rate within the parameters of the two percent levy cap?
- A Within the two percent cap. Correct. Yes.
 - Q And you testified that for determining the adequacy budget, the State only considers the roughly \$6,000 public school students. Correct?
- 9 A For determining the adequacy budget; correct.
 - Q Correct.
- 11 A Yes.
 - Q But in addition to the adequacy budget, the State also provides the District with categorical aid and other State aid. Correct?
- 15 A Yes. Correct.
- MS. PRAPAS: Okay. No further questions.
- 17 THE COURT: All right. Anything else, Mr.
- 18 Lang?
- 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LANG:
 - Q Yes. Yes. Based on what she asked. I'm going to go backwards again. All right. Are you familiar with what the local fare share is in Lakewood, according to the FYA team?
- A I don't have it in front of me, but I --
- MS. PRAPAS: Objection. That goes beyond the

	Finger - Redirect 179
1	scope of
2	MR. LANG: You were asking about that just
3	before.
4	THE COURT: She didn't actually. She didn't.
5	MS. PRAPAS: I did not.
6	MR. LANG: You were asking about
7	consideration of the
8	MR. GROSSMAN:
9	BY MR. LANG:
10	Q All right. Fine.
11	THE COURT: Actually her cross examination's
12	pretty limited to
13	MR. LANG: Okay. So.
14	THE COURT:
15	MR. LANG: Let me just stick to what she
16	asked. And I have a few notes here. She asked you
17	about the 2017 to 2018, in R-5. The Anticipated and
18	And my question is is, did those numbers go up, 2017
19	to 2018?
20	MR. INZELBUCH: He has no idea.
21	THE WITNESS: I I don't have it right in
22	front of me. So if you could show that to me.

Q And in particularly, let's look at tuition and transportation.

23

24

25

BY MR. LANG:

1	THE COURT: What exhibit are you showing him?
2	MR. LANG: P-5. Oh, I'm not on the right
3	page, actually. I'm on expenses.
4	MR. STARK: Your Honor, just for
5	clarification. It sounded like Mr. Lang asked about
6	transportation in Trenton. I'm assuming that word,
7	that was just a slip of the tongue.
8	MR. LANG: Trenton? Yeah.
9	MR. INZELBUCH: We don't know about tuition
LO	in Trenton.
L1	MR. LANG: Yeah.
L2	MR. STARK: I sort of figured.
L3	BY MR. LANG:
L 4	Q All right. So she was asking about the
L5	anticipated 2017 to 2018, about it being the actual
L 6	cost. So since this budget was passed, did the tuition
L7	expense go up?
L 8	A Our total Yes. Tuition has gone up
L 9	Q For that year.
20	A above what we had actually budgeted already.
21	Yes.
22	Q Did transportation go up?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Okay. She also was was talking to you
25	about the SFR Not the SFR the LSTA, the

How much is the cost to the District.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

other words, how much -- how much is the cost to the

District. Not -- I'm not going to talk about the

transportation aid. After the reimbursement for the

LSTA, on this thing, it says here, \$290 per kid. So

what is the cost to the District?

A The -- You got two forms of State aid for transportation; categorical, that's the 4.2, based on your DRTS -- TRS from the year before. Non-public transportation aid reimbursement at year-end, based on actual students mandated and transported. You know, mandated for transportation. The State law says, they picked the number at some point in time, the legislation, and said, Any cost above \$710, the State will then reimburse for non-public transportation. So, essentially, Districts have to eat, pay, cough up the first \$710.

Q That's what I was getting at. And so, she asked you about the LSTA being abolished. If the LSTA would be abolished, that shouldn't have any bearing on the actual State aid for transportation, not the reimbursement, the State aid.

- A It shouldn't. No.
 - Q It shouldn't have any -- Okay.
- A Two different laws.
 - Q So now the question is this. If the LSTA was

abolished -- We established that it's costing the District 710. State aid shouldn't make -- shouldn't make a difference. Would the District be held to run its own transportation program for less than \$710 per kid?

A That, it would all --

Q Would it save money, basically? That's what I'm asking the question.

A That would all depend on if, A, would the District be able to use its own buses or buy enough buses? B, would the District have to go out and contract out the routes? What those bids would come in for? At one time, the District did do its own busing of -- Didn't have an LSTA and went out to bid. And most of the routes were bid. At that point, all the routes were bid routes for non-public. To conjecture whether or not it would be more expensive or less expensive, until you open the bids you're not going to know.

Q It would -- It would have to coming in, I quess, at less than 710 per.

A It could --

Q Okay. So that's the number. Yeah.

A Well, the State law says, if you go out to bid, okay, assuming we were still doing it. Even the LSTA has to do the same thing, it's the same law. If the

1	cost of If the If you go out to bid and the price
2	comes back at under \$1,000 per student, then you could
3	award the bid. If the bids come out at more than
4	\$1,000 a student, then you actually can't award the
5	bid. And you have to pay the student's family what's
6	called aid in lieu of transportation. Where you
7	actually write a check to the parents, and say, Well,
8	we went out to bid. The prices came back at more than
9	\$1,000. Here's a check for \$1,000 for each You
10	know, one kid to no matter You know, it depends on
11	how many kids you have. And you now get your own
12	busing, or drive your kids to school, or whatever. But
13	the District no longer has to pay for it. It reached
14	that Thousand limit. Here's a check for \$1,000.
15	THE COURT: Who came up with this idea?
16	THE WITNESS: The State legislature.
17	MR. INZELBUCH: I love when you do that.
18	BY MR. LANG:
19	Q Let me ask the question. This is $$ This is
20	not just for Lakewood this Thousand Dollars.
21	A No.
22	Q It's the law throughout the State.
23	A Law throughout the State. State wide. Yes.
24	MR. INZELBUCH: That's why he said the

25

legislature.

19 20 21 THE COURT: Like if you had --

MR. INZELBUCH: (Laughs)

23 BY MR. LANG:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

24

25

Is it true that you said on November -- Not November. January -- It's February 7th, that

186 Finger - Redirect 1 abolishing the LSTA would not save any money for the District. Do you understand? 2 I don't --3 Α Don't remember. 4 -- remember. 5 Α 6 Okay. So we don't know. 7 MR. INZELBUCH: Can you just show him? THE COURT: He doesn't know because he'd have 8 to send it out for a bid. 9 10 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11 MR. INZELBUCH: Show him this. --12 MR. LANG: Right. Exactly. So it's not 13 important. That's all right. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. LANG: We got our answer. 16 BY MR. LANG: 17 All right. Is there a -- All right. You testified that the -- there is some -- some 18 19 negotiations between the State Monitor and the State 20 about -- Did you testi -- about whether they're going 21 to take out money for -- to pay back the loans this 22 year? 23 Yeah. I mean, yes. We've been in contact, 24 obviously, with our State Monitor, he's there three

days a week, and he was just at our Board meeting last

1 night. And we had asked. The Board actually asked 2 him, What's going on with this deferment for this year? 3 Why -- Are they going to defer the money and give it 4 back, what they've taken from us? And are they going 5 to defer it for next year? 6 0 Is --7 And his answer was, He's working on it. Is there a promise with that? Is that -- a 8 9 problem. Is there some kind of problem with just this 10 kind of promise? And how would it affect you as trying 11 to put together the budget? 12 Well, for me to put the -- Putting the budget --13 MS. PRAPAS: Objection. I'm sorry. I just did not understand that question. 14 15 THE COURT: I think it's going to be 16 rephrased. 17 MS. PRAPAS: It's okay. All right. 18 BY MR. LANG: 19 As we speak now, is there money that was 20 promised to be deferred that is still being taken? 21 Yes. The State's been taking it out, I believe, 22 since -- since September. 23 Okay. And this is -- And even -- And they 24 said they would not do that?

The verbal promise made -- Apparently, verbal

	3
1	promise made to our State Monitor, in touch with his
2	office, was that, when it started to come out, that it
3	was in error and the Treasury would correct it. And
4	the months have just gone on and the Treasury's not
5	correcting it.
6	Q So what's the affect of the fact that they
7	did make this promise but they're still taking out the
8	money? What's the affect on the budget?
9	A On the Well, not so much on the It's a
10	It's a problem with cash flow at this point.
11	MR. INZELBUCH: What do you mean?
12	THE WITNESS: It will become a problem with
13	cash flow.
14	BY MR. LANG:
15	Q Wait. What does that mean? How does that
16	affect the budget?
17	A Again, I'm not talking about
18	THE COURT: It wasn't the budget.
19	THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the cash
20	flow.
21	MR. INZELBUCH: Paying bills.
22	THE WITNESS: The problem is if we're getting
23	less money, even if it is corrected down the road,
24	we're reaching kind of a cash crunch at this point.

And unless this gets corrected soon, it could be -- You

1 know, payroll will get paid. Okay. But other bills
2 may not get paid right away because we're short the
3 money, the cash, the physical cash.

BY MR. LANG:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What's going to happen if -- Well, when is the -- When is the budget due to be completed? Α The 18/19 budget -- Well, as a matter of fact, we were just told today. Usually State aid numbers come out at the end of February. The Governor makes the budget address, two days -- It's usually the next week. New governors are always given, by an act of the legislature, an extra couple of weeks. So we just found out yesterday that the State aid numbers, instead of coming out like around the 26th, the 27th of February, will be coming out around March 15th. law also says that we have to get our budgets to the County Superintendent by March 20th. That's not a lot -- And March 15th is a Thursday. It doesn't give us a whole lot of time to put in our State aid numbers and make any adjustments that we need to make. So we just found out, because as a matter of fact, while I was sitting here waiting, the County Superintendent of Schools sent a notice out that that date's now been pushed back to March 29th. So we -- The answer to your question is, we have to get our budget to the County

1 Superintendent of Schools by March 29th.

Q Okay. And what's going to happen if you don't cover this deficit? If you don't get a loan of someway to cover this deficit by March 29th?

A Well, March 29th is the introduced budget.

Q Okay.

A That's -- That, by law, you must introduce a budget. Send it to the County Superintendent. He then appro -- He or she. -- then approves it for advertising. That's really what it's about, for advertising. You then have X number of weeks it has to be advertised. And then you have a public hearing.

That's when you have an official budget. And that date hasn't changed. That, I believe, is May -- I think it's May 12th.

Q So --

A That's when it becomes a final budget.

Q So, on March 29th, your -- If I understand you correctly. You're going to have to come out with an advertised budget.

- A An intro -- introduced budget --
- 22 Q Introduced budget.
 - A -- for advertising.
 - Q And if you don't get any money from the State to cover the deficit, it's going to -- it's going to --

that budget is not going to have those dollars that you're saying are in the deficit, the 20 --

A Well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q -- the 17 to 23 Million dollars.

Α Well, what we're -- what we're going to do, is there is a line on the budget, on your revenue line, you list all your revenues. Local revenues, tax levy, miscellaneous, all the State aid. Which you'll get the State aid printout on March 15th, saying this is what you're getting for transportation, special ed, equalization aid or whatever other aid you're entitled to. And then there is a line on the bottom. Most districts don't use it. We use it. DOE State Aid Advances. We have to submit, even as an introduced budget, it has to be a balanced budget. So if my revenue is -- Make it simple. I'm getting \$100 in revenue. I've got \$150 in expenditures. I can't submit that budget. I have to show it's balanced. that line, that says DOE State Advances, is going to be for short, you know, short of another word, plugged number to balance the budget. And that essentially will be at that point, what we'll be saying to the State, this is what we need.

Q So what's going to happen is, that deficit's going to appear on a line saying, DOE loan, even though

1 that loan has not been arranged at the time.

A Correct.

Q Okay. Are you familiar with any kind of resolution of the Board of Education not to send out Reductions in Force?

MS. PRAPAS: Objection.

THE COURT: No questions --

MS. PRAPAS: It's beyond the scope.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. INZELBUCH: It's okay, Arthur.

BY MR. LANG:

Q Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Yeah, I really -I forgot. I'm sorry. Okay. Okay. Now, once -- once
that goes into -- In March, and it shows up as a DOE
loan to State, how will that ever be balanced? I mean,
if, you know, it can't stay as a loan for State
forever. It's -- What happens after that?

A Well, at that point, we'd introduce the list and
the Board approves it. It goes to the County
Superintendent. That will also be the point where
we'll be talking out our State Monitor and saying,
Okay, here's our introduced budget. This is -- If all
these numbers of what we anticipate spending hold, and
this is what the Board agrees to at the public hearing,
as of right now, we need you, as our State Monitor, to

	3
1	go talk to the folks in Trenton and say, Based upon
2	what's been introduced, they need X. Whether that be
3	10 Million, 15 Million, 20 Million, whatever that
4	number might be. And then we have, of course, between
5	March 29th and approximately May 12th, 14th, I forget
6	the final date, when you have to have your public
7	hearing and approve your budget to work with the State
8	Monitor, with the DOE, Department of Ed on that State
9	aid advance.
10	Q Just, do the State Monitors have any input

before March 29th?

We work with -- Yes. We work collaboratively with the State Monitor on formulating the budget. Yes.

Do the State Monitors agree that there is a 17 to 23 Million Dollar deficit?

MR. STARK: Objection. That's not a question that this witness can answer, as to whether or not the State Monitor --

MR. INZELBUCH: If he spoke to them.

MR. LANG: -- Have they spoke to you?

THE COURT: Yeah.

BY MR. LANG:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Have they spoke to you?

When I did my -- my presentation on January 31st to the Board, where we brought out that we'd be looking

	Finger - Redirect 19
1	between 17 and 20 Million Dollars, the State Monitor
2	had reviewed it, and had approved it, and agreed with
3	it. And even, I believe he said it the same last night
4	when we were talking
5	Q Yes.
6	A in public session.
7	Q Okay. No, don't. Just leave me alone.
8	MR. INZELBUCH: He likes it.
9	BY MR. LANG:
10	Q Now, they were asking you about the
11	Township and the courtesy busing of the public school
12	students. Are you familiar with the Township policy,
13	who gets public courtesy busing?
14	A The Township?
15	Q Who gets courtesy busing from the
16	MS. PRAPAS: No. Objection. I didn't ask
17	about courtesy busing for public school students.
18	MR. LANG: Oh. All right.
19	MR. INZELBUCH: We know already. The record
20	knows with that.
21	BY MR. LANG:

Q Okay. So, are -- All right. Is any money coming out of the school budget for courtesy busing? Α No.

Okay.

22

23

24

- 195 Finger - Redirect 1 Α No. 2 Is the Township paying for courtesy busing of 3 the public school students? 4 Α Yes. 5 Okay. Do you know the policy of the 6 Township, who gets it? Because there are school 7 children that go to the District. 8 Α I believe --9 MS. PRAPAS: Objection. This is --10 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11 MR. LANG: You were asking about that. You 12 asked --13 MR. STARK: Don't talk to her. MR. INZELBUCH: That was about a bridge. 14 15 MR. STARK: Yeah, Judge. 16 MS. PRAPAS: Yeah. I didn't ask anything 17 about -- about this. About the courtesy busing --18 MR. STARK: That's correct. 19 MR. LANG: Okay. I'm sorry. 20 MR. INZELBUCH: You could ask about a toll 21 bridge maybe. 22 BY MR. LANG: 23 Okay. Okay. (Whispering)
- MR. LANG: Yeah, I know. But that was based

THE COURT: This is just Redirect.

1	on what she was asking. But I don't know if it's stuff
2	where I put my notes. So I guess that's it.
3	MR. INZELBUCH: Okay.
4	MR. LANG: Okay. I'm fine. No, I Because
5	I was writing down what she was asking, but I got mixed
6	up.
7	MR. STARK: No further questions, Your Honor.
8	MS. PRAPAS: No Recross, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MR. INZELBUCH: It's hard to separate from
11	Mr. Finger. But.
12	THE COURT: I guess his topic.
13	MR. LANG: We're glad we're finished.
14	THE COURT: We're finished. Thank you very
15	much.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
17	MR. INZELBUCH: You can leave. Thank you.
18	Stay with us as long as you can.
19	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
20	MR. LANG: Your Honor, I There was this
21	issue of judicial notice. And there were three letters
22	that I sent in. And I printed up everything.
23	THE COURT: Well, first of all. Did you
24	share all of that information? Which one of you is
25	doing that?

1 MS. HOFF: It's me. 2 MR. LANG: I gave them each a -- this also. 3 What are we doing now? 4 MR. STARK: We're going to talk about these. 5 MS. HOFF: I think we're talking about 6 judicial notice. 7 MR. LANG: Oh, okay. MS. HOFF: I mean --8 9 MR. INZELBUCH: Wait we're missing -- Can you 10 -- Wait. Okay. Yeah. What are you disc -- For the 11 record, what are you all --12 MR. LANG: Judicial notice. 13 MR. INZELBUCH: Yeah, but --14 MR. LANG: All those emails that I sent out. 15 MR. INZELBUCH: Oh, yeah. Okay. 16 MR. LANG: You saw them, Michael. 17 MS. HOFF: Wait, wait, wait, wait. 18 MR. GROSSMAN: Michael, just sit down please. 19 MR. INZELBUCH: Yeah. Let the Judge find her 20 21 MR. GROSSMAN: Excuse me, please. 22 MR. LANG: I have a copy of everything. 23 MR. INZELBUCH: Well, the Judge needs to --24 Just give her a second.

MR. LANG: No. Because I know the Judge can

Colloquy 1 print. 2 MR. GROSSMAN: Arthur. Arthur, just sit 3 down. MR. INZELBUCH: I wanted to be her court 4 5 clerk for so long. (Laughs) MR. GROSSMAN: That's what this stack is. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Well, first of all, let me just start out with, you know, we -- we can't really 8 9 print out like all these pages. 10 MR. LANG: I did everything. 11 THE COURT: All right. But first of all, can 12 you stipulate to any of these documents? Is that? 13 MS. HOFF: I can stipulate to some of them. I mean, a -- some of these documents are documents of 14 15 first impression that were just --16 THE COURT: Is there like a master list 17 somewhere? 18 MR. LANG: Yes, Your Honor. Right here. 19 Except that I just don't have the Superintendent's 20 letters that -- But that's -- That's not judicial 21 notice anyway. 22 MS. HOFF: I -- I would -- I mean, I would 23

request that we be able to just -- Because there is so much and because there was so much that was just provided this week, and as late as 4 p.m., that we be

24

199 Colloquy 1 able to just sort of line item do this in writing. 2 Fair -- I mean --3 THE COURT: Sounds good to me. Because we 4 can have it -- We can all have his --5 MR. LANG: Well, could I at least leave them. 6 THE COURT: We can all have his feet, really. 7 MS. HOFF: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Because I just -- I had to dig 8 9 out the rule. You know, because --10 MS. HOFF: Right. It's --11 THE COURT: And so. 12 MS. HOFF: Yeah. I wasn't able to print out 13 everything either. 14 MR. LANG: Your Honor, let me --15 MR. GROSSMAN: Arthur. 16 MR. LANG: Just one -- one minute. Let me 17 just --MR. INZELBUCH: Your Honor, didn't we just 18 19 hear that they would agree to look at all this? 20 THE COURT: They --21 MR. LANG: Wait, wait. Before -- Before 22 anything. Most of this stuff they've had for four

MR. LANG: I haven't been able --

THE COURT: Okay. Wait, wait.

23

24

years.

THE COURT: Mr. Lang.

MR. GROSSMAN: Would you stop talking,

3 please?

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Lang. Mr. Lang. Okay. First of all you're asking me to take judicial notice of something. So I'm going to have to refer to the rules of evidence, which define what judicial notice is. And there is judicial notice, essentially of --I'll read it for you. "Decisional constitution and public statutory law, rules of court, private legislative acts, resolutions in the United States, this State." In other words, judicial documents or legislative documents. They're matters of record and they've been published. Then we can take notice of facts. Okay. "Facts are such specific facts and propositions of generalized knowledge as are so universally known that they cannot be reasonab -- that they cannot reasonably be the subject of dispute." You know. We're here at the moon, it's 239,000 miles away. The sun is 93 miles away. That's -- That's a fact. Nobody's going to take any dispute of that. I consider the world is round, some people might think it's flat, but generally speaking, the world is round. Okay. Okay. "Such facts as are so generally known or are of such common notoriety within the area pertinent to the

1

4

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

event, they cannot reasonably be the subject of 2 dispute." The Challenger explosion. Most of us saw it. Okay. There's some people that think there's a 3 conspiracy, but most of us would agree that's a fact. 5 We can take judicial notice. "Specific facts and 6 propositions of generalized knowledge, which are 7 capable of immediate determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." Okay. 8 So that's an area. "And records of the court in which 9 the action is pending." Well, it was no records of the 10 11 Court. So, the only way you could pro -- possibly ask 12 this to get in, is our "specific facts and propositions 13 of generalized knowledge." We're not talking about 14 charts and graphs and things of that nature. We're 15 talking about a specific fact. So.

MR. LANG: But, --

THE COURT: That's why when you provide anything that's printed off the DOE, or any other website, it's only as good as information in, information out. Now, is it a government -- Is it a document that's been prepared in the normal course of the agency's business? Probably yes. That's why you should provide it all to the Deputy Attorneys General, and then they can go through it, and if it matches, they can say we stipulate to this. It doesn't mean

1 that it's anything that I have to take judicial notice 2 of. Because it's a document that's already been 3 prepared as part of business. Which is a separate exception in the rules. 4 5 MR. LANG: That's what I was going to ask. 6 MR. GROSSMAN: 7 MR. LANG: And I was going to ask possibly even admission. Because it's coming from responses. 8 9 THE COURT: It's not an admission. Okay. 10 It's just you're going after the Commissioner of 11 Education, the Department of Education. They put 12 charts, graphs, statistics, all kinds of things on 13 their website. That's the agency's product, work 14 product, if you will. Surely most of that they can 15 stipulate to and say, "Yes, this is what it is." 16 They're not swearing that it's accurate because it's 17 only as good as whoever put the chart together. 18 MR. LANG: Hm hmm. 19 THE COURT: But for me to take judicial 20 notice of something means that this is, in fact, a 21 fact. I don't know if it's a fact or not. If they 22 said there were 31,002 students, and it turned out 23 there were 31,001 students, that's -- There's a dispute

MR. LANG: Hm hmm.

in the facts. Do you see the difference?

24

1	THE COURT: Okay. So I think Ms. Hoff's idea
2	is a very good one. Why don't This is what you want
3	me to admit into evidence. Is that right? All your
4	documents?
5	MR. LANG: Well, some of this stuff, I mean,
6	I don't know how I'm going to be able to have
7	THE COURT: Okay. So why don't
8	MR. LANG: a foundation. But most of it.
9	Yes.
10	THE COURT: All right. So why don't you go
11	through, and you circle every single document that you
12	want to move into evidence at the end of your case.
13	And anything that's a chart or a graph or a publication
14	of the Department of Education, or any other State
15	website, you can put another little mark in it, and
16	they'll see whether or not they can even just stipulate
17	to it. And then you don't have to worry about anything
18	else.
19	MR. LANG: And what happens if when
20	there's a disagreement, if they don't want to
21	stipulate?
22	MR. GROSSMAN: We'll see.
23	THE COURT: Then I decide.
24	MR. LANG: Well, I want to hear the Judge ask

25

her.

1 MR. GROSSMAN: --2 THE COURT: I'm here for a reason. 3 (Laughter) 4 MR. LANG: Okay. All right. 5 MR. INZELBUCH: Oh, my goodness. THE COURT: I think. Otherwise, the two of 6 7 you could just --8 MR. STARK: Not just generosity of Your 9 Honor's time. 10 MR. INZELBUCH: That was really sweet. 11 MR. GROSSMAN: The Judge has affirmed. 12 THE COURT: Okay? 13 MR. GROSSMAN: Yeah. 14 THE COURT: So, I get --15 MR. INZELBUCH: Has he -- Has the Petitioner 16 rested their case? 17 MR. GROSSMAN: No. 18 MS. HOFF: I don't think they can. 19 THE COURT: I'm waiting to -- I think so, but 20 I'm not sure. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it's subject to --21 22 subject to introduction of the documents, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Yeah, that's what I thought. 24 MR. LANG: And also, we're going to brief. I 25

mean, I -- Otherwise, I --

1	THE COURT: Oh no, no. There's a whole other
2	case that goes on.
3	MR. LANG: Right. Yeah, we're not going to
4	call anymore witnesses.
5	MR. GROSSMAN: Your Honor, that'll be
6	That, subject based on what your preference is,
7	whether it's at the end of the case or the end of our
8	case, we'll move the evidence and then and then
9	rest.
10	THE COURT: That's a good idea. So, we don't
11	have to do that all. You can have time now to look at
12	the chart and tell me which ones you want, because you
13	said not all of them.
14	MR. LANG: I don't
15	THE COURT: And then make sure that my book
16	of whatever documents is exactly what
17	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Because the character
18	
19	MR. LANG: This is the most
20	MR. GROSSMAN: Excuse me, Arthur. Because
21	the characterization of some of the documents may be
22	technically inaccurate for your purposes. So. Because
23	I know there's some census data in there, which is
24	United States census stuff, which would be an official
25	

1	THE COURT: An official document.
2	MR. GROSSMAN: document.
3	THE COURT: Which they would probably
4	stipulate to.
5	THE COURT: And it's subject to that
6	exception, which would help.
7	THE COURT: If it's from the United States
8	Government.
9	MS. HOFF: If it's an official document.
10	Sure.
11	THE COURT: Hm hmm.
12	MR. INZELBUCH: Was it before Trump or after?
13	MR. LANG: Well, that that was the The
14	U.S. Census Data, is what I want to use for the income
15	and the wealth. That's why it's here.
16	THE COURT: You have to show it to them. Put
17	down the source of the document. They have to check,
18	make sure it accurately says what you say it says.
19	MR. LANG: They've had it for months.
20	MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, it hasn't It
21	doesn't matter. It's irrelevant.
22	MR. LANG: Okay.
23	THE COURT: All right. So we have a plan.
24	MR. STARK: Yes.
25	MR. GROSSMAN: Your Honor, can we have some

deadlines?

MS. HOFF: Yes.

3 THE COURT: Well, we have lots of time now.

4 Okay. So now we can go off the record.

MR. STARK: There's -- There's

THE COURT: And we don't need to put

deadlines on the record.

MR. INZELBUCH: Wait a minute.

MR. STARK: There are two -- I think there are two -- Before we go off the record. There are two small issues that we would like to raise. The first involves the numerous letters that, which in our opinion, form a basis of argument, that have been submitted to the Court for Your Honor's attention by Mr. Tractenberg, after each day of testimony.

THE COURT: Oh.

MR. STARK: And so, --

THE COURT: I have seen --

MR. STARK: -- we would like to object to those. And we want to go on the record as to whether or not those are things that Your Honor has -- and ask whether or not those are things that Your Honor has reviewed. Because if they are, we -- You know, the parties should be able to respond to those. But, you know --

1	THE COURT: I see them more as in terms of a
2	summation of like what's been said so far. I don't
3	really see them as, you know,
4	MR. STARK: Okay.
5	THE COURT: advancing anything in terms of
6	facts. It's just
7	MR. STARK: We would object to I mean,
8	it's a shame Mr. Tractenberg is not here. But we would
9	object to any further submissions. Because reviewing
10	that, as as to use Your Honor's term, as a
11	summation, and that's for the closing of the of the
12	hearing.
13	THE COURT: Yeah. I don't know. I assumed
14	that Mr. Lang was probably in touch with him and then
15	that's why
16	MR. LANG: Your Honor, well we previously,
17	when we were in front of Judge Kennedy That's the
18	only other time we were inside the courtroom Mr.
19	Tractenberg would would speak, because as a
20	participant he has the right to speak. But since he's
21	now in Florida, that's why he's sending these.
22	THE COURT: Well, not exactly.
23	MR. LANG: Pardon.
24	THE COURT: Not exactly.
25	MR. INZELBUCH: Ooh, I like it.

1 MR. LANG: Well, Michael's definitely 2 speaking. (Laughing) 3 THE COURT: Most of the time it's me telling 4 Mr. Inzelbuch to control himself. So. 5 MR. INZELBUCH: In a loving way. 6 MR. LANG: I mean, Judge Kennedy, you know, asked Professor Tractenberg --7 8 THE COURT: You know, I don't lose my temper, generally speaking, but you really do try -- try it 9 10 sometimes, Mr. Inzelbuch. Really. You've got to 11 control yourself. 12 MR. INZELBUCH: But I just heard something. 13 Mr. Tractenberg was allowed to speak. 14 MR. LANG: He was --15 MR. STARK: That is -- That is --16 MR. INZELBUCH: He's allowed to write 17 letters. It's amazing. 18 MR. STARK: That is a characterization of --19 MR. LANG: Well, you are too. 20 MR. STARK: -- what occurred during the course of an oral argument on a motion. 21 22 THE COURT: Oh. 23 MR. STARK: Mr. Tractenberg was certainly 24 permitted to --25 MR. INZELBUCH: Oh, okay.

1 MR. STARK: -- participate in oral argument 2 on a motion. 3 MR. LANG: Oh, okay. MR. STARK: I don't want to speak for Judge 4 5 Kennedy. But I do not believe that Judge Kennedy would 6 have allowed Mr. Tractenberg --MR. INZELBUCH: Oh, I got worried. 7 MR. STARK: -- to present legal argument at 8 9 the close of testimony during the course of the 10 hearing. 11 THE COURT: No, I understand. 12 MR. INZELBUCH: I feel better now. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. LANG: So what -- what --15 THE COURT: I'm sure that's what it was. I 16 mean, I wasn't --17 MR. LANG: So what is Mr. Tractenberg allowed 18 to do? 19 MR. STARK: Mr. --20 THE COURT: He can -- and read the 21 transcripts, he can sum up. He can send in his 22 summary. He can supply a brief. Whatever he wants to 23 do at the end of the case. 24 MR. STARK: At the conclusion of the case. 25

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. STARK: Would Your Honor be -- Would Your 1 2 Honor find it acceptable if we send to Mr. Tractenberg reflecting that decision by -- by Your Honor? 3 THE COURT: Sure. 4 5 MR. STARK: Okay. 6 THE COURT: You can just tell him that I'll -- I'll expect all his remarks at the end. But, 7 really, you know, but most --8 9 MR. LANG: But be nice. 10 MR. STARK: I always attempt to be nice. 11 THE COURT: But mostly I just -- When they 12 came in I sort of perused them and said this is really 13 summation and -- you know, what it is now. 14 MR. STARK: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 MR. LANG: Well, he did -- he only did it 16 because of -- I guess that was --17 THE COURT: Because you asked him to. I 18 know. 19 MR. LANG: Yeah. And well, and also when 20 Judge Kennedy --21 THE COURT: I understand, Mr. Lang. 22 MR. LANG: -- when he would be over there and 23 Judge Kennedy would ask him his opinion. 24 THE COURT: I understand, Mr. Lang. I just 25

figured you just told him about the day's events and

1	then he would send something in, basically summing up
2	his understanding of what happened on that particular
3	day and what the arguments were. Maybe he's trying to
4	frame your argument for you.
5	MR. LANG: No, no, no. He's more like, you
6	know, because of his experience with Abbott and
7	Robinson. So.
8	THE COURT: Yeah. That's why he's framing
9	the issue.
10	MR. STARK: The other issue is that, seeing
11	as Mr. Lang has has rested, subject to the admission
12	of documents, we do anticipate filing a motion to
13	dismiss.
14	THE COURT: I
15	MR. STARK: And we would imagine that Your
16	Honor would want briefing on that.
17	THE COURT: I I
18	MR. STARK: Especially because Mr.
19	Tractenberg is also not here. And he's entitled to
20	participate in that.
21	THE COURT: Yeah, I want him to.
22	MR. LANG: Why would Excuse me. But why a
23	motion to dismiss at this point? We already had a
24	motion to dismiss.

MR. STARK: But we don't believe you proved

1	your case. And so we're going to file a motion saying,
2	we don't believe that
3	MR. INZELBUCH: That's typical. They're
4	allowed to
5	THE COURT: Is this is normal here?
6	MR. INZELBUCH: I'm sorry. I'm just trying
7	to help.
8	MR. LANG: Okay. So, that means we've got to
9	brief and go through
10	MR. GROSSMAN: Arthur. Arthur.
11	MR. LANG: Okay.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Grossman can explain it to
13	you and so can Mr. Inzelbuch. But the State's making a
14	motion at the end of your case, basically saying you
15	haven't proved a prima facie case, so therefore.
16	MR. INZELBUCH: Is there any deadlines or
17	scheduling.
18	THE COURT: Well, that's what we're going to
19	do. But I don't like to do scheduling on the record.
20	MR. INZELBUCH: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Because it just can waste pages
22	and pages of the State's money when you order a
23	transcript of this.
24	MR. LANG: Oh my gosh.
25	THE COURT: What date is good for this? What

1 date is good for that? MR. LANG: The State pays for the transcript? 2 3 MR. INZELBUCH: No, well. THE COURT: Well, I'm sure they might pay for 4 5 theirs. MR. LANG: Oh, if they --6 7 THE COURT: I'm not so sure that they're going to pay for yours. (Laughter) 8 9 MR. INZELBUCH: You're getting fun today. 10 MR. LANG: Hey it's okay. You'll order them? MR. STARK: We can discuss this off of the 11 12 I appreciate that, Your Honor. record. 13 MR. INZELBUCH: Oh, my goodness. 14 THE COURT: All right. So, that's it for 15 today then. So you're going to go through all of the 16 exhibits so that we know exactly what it is. And then, 17 if there is any disagreement, I will have to rule on 18 that before you can do your motion. But we can do that 19 by telephone if need be. 20 MR. STARK: Yes. Thank you. 21 {Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned.} 22 23

24

	215
1	STATE OF NEW JERSEY }
2	COUNTY OF MERCER }
3	
4	I, Jean Polatnick, assigned transcriber, do
5	hereby affirm that the foregoing is a true and accurate
6	transcript of the proceedings in the matter of <u>Leonor</u>
7	Alcantara, Individually and as guardian ad litem for
8	E.A., et al. vs. David Hespe, Commissioner of
9	Education, bearing Docket Number EDU 11069-14, heard
10	on, February 22, 2018 before the Office of
11	Administrative Law Court.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	